

All of humanity should share in the space mining boom

April 18 2016, by Morgan Saletta And Kevin Orrman-Rossiter,
University Of Melbourne



There could be great wealth out there. But who benefits from it? Credit:
NASA/JPL-Caltech

One solitary asteroid might be worth [trillions of dollars](#) in platinum and other metals. Exploiting these resources could lead to a global boom in wealth, which could raise living standards worldwide and potentially benefit all of humanity.

There are already companies, such as [Planetary Resources](#), hoping to make mining in space a reality.

Peter Diamondis, co-founder of Planetary Resources and founder of the [XPrize Grand Challenges](#), believes that the benefits to humanity give us a moral imperative to explore and utilise space. He has also [declared](#) "there are twenty-trillion-dollar checks up there, waiting to be cashed!"

However, behind the utopian rhetoric and dazzling dreams of riches lie some very real problems.

Ownership and the Outer Space Treaty

The framework of international space law is given by the Outer Space Treaty ([OST](#)), which entered into force in 1967. Among its main principals, the OST includes [these statements](#):

the exploration and use of outer space shall be carried out for the benefit and in the interests of all countries and shall be the province of all mankind

and,

outer space is not subject to national appropriation by claim of sovereignty, by means of use or occupation, or by any other means

Because the OST is generally interpreted as preventing anything like private [fee-simple ownership](#), it is sometimes claimed to be an obstacle to commercial ventures in space. But such claims simply do not hold water.

There are numerous terrestrial examples where resources are profitably exploited in the absence of fee-simple ownership. Governments

routinely licence companies to engage in timber extraction, mining, offshore oil exploration and other activities, receiving royalties payments on production.

In the United States, revenues from such royalties totalled some [US\\$13.5 billion dollars](#) in 2014 from federally owned or managed lands alone.

Nevertheless, some proponents of mining in outer space argue for [serious modification or an end to the Outer Space Treaty](#) and claim, against the evidence, that without fee-simple ownership, there is no incentive for commercial exploitation.

The United States' [Space Act of 2015](#) was just one volley – and a deliberately vague one at that – in this ongoing international debate.

A balanced approach?


The riches exist, but how will humanity benefit from mining in outer space, or for that matter, other [global commons](#) such as the deep sea floor?

Behind the lofty rhetoric of benefits to humanity, there is a dark shadow of [voodoo economics](#), the shambling, walking dead figure of trickle down economics– and the possibility of a world where a few trillionaires enjoy the view from space while others barely eke a living on its surface.

Yet we do suggest that commercial interests and profit seeking can be a healthy part of the exploration of outer space. Yet outer space is not the Wild West frontier of [Frederick Jackson Turner](#), nor do we live in the Gold Rush days of Jack London's tale of [greed and death](#).

In the common heritage of space, with multiple state and private actors engaging in exploration and potentially exploitation, international

cooperation and oversight will benefit all.



The next mining boom could happen in space. Credit: NASA

The Alaskan model

There is a balanced, pragmatic approach that will promote commercial and profit driven activities, while also producing tangible benefits to all of humanity.

Importantly, this pragmatic approach has a well established precedent that has existed for nearly 40 years. And this comes not from a social democracy or left-wing ideology, but was the brainchild of a libertarian, Republican governor of Alaska, [Jay Hammond](#).

That model is the Alaska Permanent Fund Corporation ([APFC](#)) created in 1976, and its unique "citizen's dividend". The APF is a resource wealth fund, which derives its revenue primarily from leases on oil fields.

In 1977, Hammond [suggested](#) that "rather than permitting government to spend all public monies earned through the exploitation of the public's resources for what government thinks best, let's grant shares to Alaskans."

The first dividend payment was made in 1982, and in 2015 that payment amounted to US\$2,072.

Linking a citizen's dividend to a sovereign wealth fund was unique, but the idea of a citizen's dividend has a long and venerable tradition. One of the earliest advocates was no less than the political theorist and American Revolutionary, [Thomas Paine](#).

International body

How would this work for outer space?

[We need](#) an international body similar to the [International Seabed Authority](#), which was established by the [United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea](#), or the [International Telecommunications Union](#), which allocates satellite orbits.

This would provide the stable business and investment environment that

entrepreneurs seek by ensuring international law and obligations are met. This body could license outer space resources and levy a royalty on production, which is part of standard business practice between petroleum and other mining companies and governments here on Earth.

In turn, these revenues, or a significant portion thereof, would be deposited in a Space Resource Fund, possibly under the aegis of the World Bank. And every single citizen on Earth, say aged 18 or above, would receive a dividend on a yearly basis as their rightful share as owners of the common province of humankind.

Crucially, we are not suggesting redistribution, which has been an obstacle to the International Seabed Authority and the [Moon Treaty](#) in the past, but a fair share dividend of wealth that truly belongs to everyone.

Our model doesn't provide a handout, or a welfare cheque, or charity from a billionaire philanthropist; it pays every owner in a global commons a share of what is rightfully theirs.

Even tiny dividends by the standards of the world's wealthy nations would make a difference for some developing world farmers. If there truly are trillions of dollars out there, then this might be something fundamentally world changing.

We accept that Larry Page and Sir Richard Branson – founding investors and advisors in Planetary Resources – and its founders Eric Anderson and Peter Diamandis, truly want humanity to benefit from [outer space](#), and that they truly believe in corporate social responsibility and a sustainable future. We would encourage them to embrace the idea that the sky really does belong to all of us, as the common ["province of all mankind"](#).

By paying rent for the right to exploit resources in space and royalties on production, the same way oil companies pay to exploit oil in the Gulf of Mexico, they'll be engaging in business as usual.

They will have bought the right to make a potentially enormous profit and prove they really are responsible global citizens. And they'd get a citizen's dividend cheque too.

This article was originally published on [The Conversation](#). Read the [original article](#).

Source: The Conversation

Citation: All of humanity should share in the space mining boom (2016, April 18) retrieved 10 May 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2016-04-humanity-space-boom.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--