

How high-speed wireless compares to cable in boosting our internet speeds

April 28 2016, by Thas Ampalavanapillai Nirmalathas, University Of Melbourne

Australia's national broadband network continues its roll out with [more than 900,000 premises now connected](#), according to NBN Co's latest [weekly progress report](#).

But Google recently announced the development of [high-speed wireless internet connections](#), which raises the question of which technology is the best for any future broadband network.

Political influence

The technology options for deploying broadband in Australia have reflected political thinking and been heavily influenced by changes in federal government. Broadband technology also keeps improving and the continuing debate about choice of technology is hindering effective investment.

The current option for the NBN is a multi-technology mix ([MTM](#)). This approach emerged after a [strategic review](#) of the project undertaken by the Abbott-Turnbull Government.

MTM has the NBN network comprising [optical fibre](#) to the home, hybrid fibre coaxial (HFC) cable network, hybrid fibre-copper cable and wireless options (fixed wireless and satellite [wireless access](#)).

But Google's wireless plan adds fuel to the ongoing debate about whether cable connections will soon be obsolete?

The original fibre plan

The NBN's original choice of fibre to the premises ([FTTP](#)) remains not only the most future proof against rapid changes in technological evolution but also offers the highest speeds of connectivity and lowest delay (latency).

Low latency is very important for the smooth operation of any interactive application. In fact, telecommunications networks rely on optical fibre and the closer that the fibre can get to end user, the better the performance.

Hence, the debate has centred around how best to connect users to fibre over the last few kilometres.

NBN Co is interested in the [HFC cable sourced from Telstra](#) and Optus as it has an installed fibre network base within 10km of the subscribers who are connected by a copper-based coaxial cable. This has greater capacity than the standard twisted copper pair phone lines.

If NBN Co can bring fibre close to a network termination point and then utilise the existing copper based telephone lines, there are new technologies that can push the speeds of connection in the short term to that comparable to fibre.

But their upgradability is low and the last few kilometres of copper as well as the terminal equipment will need to be replaced with optical fibre or other improved technologies in the future.

Wireless still needs cable

Even the broadband wireless options being considered by Google rely on a wireless access point located close to the users. That is almost guaranteed to be connected by the optical fibre.

In order to provide wireless access with broadband, the access point needs to have a directional beam towards the user or have a smaller radius of coverage with fewer users. This would imply a significantly large number of wireless access points interconnected by an [optical fibre network](#) to provide a coverage to everyone.

There are plans to provide wireless broadband using satellites (NBN's [Sky Muster](#) and **OTHER WHAT?** others) and other floating platforms (Google's [Loon Project](#)).

But these cannot offer high bandwidth, as it will be shared by a larger number of users. They would also be significantly limited by the excessive delay caused by getting the signal to space and back again.

There is no one ideal solution, but it depends on the population density. Satellites and other space-based platforms provide universal coverage over the Earth's surface with reasonable bandwidth in a shared manner. But the delay would be a problem when it comes to highly interactive applications involving audio, video or real-time interactions.

It is ideally suited for hard-to-reach places as well as for providing universal coverage of basic broadband to sparsely located populations.

Alternative [wireless](#) technologies, such as those being considered by Google, provide rapid deployment at a lower cost. But they also have a compromise in terms of upgradability.

Similarly, all other hybrid options such as fibre to the node ([FTTN](#)), fibre to the building ([FTTB](#)), fibre to the distribution point ([FTTdP](#)) and HFC provide low-cost activation options while deferring the upgrade costs to a future date.

These are options that network operators have when they are making decisions about investing their capital to expand the network, and shorten the time to bring services to market.

Looming election

Will the looming election cause a further change in the NBN policy?

Rethinks based on political ideology has [already caused significant delays](#) and uncertainty regarding the project.

What's needed is bipartisan commitment to accelerating NBN deployment along with modernising the infrastructure in the core network that will have to support increased access to broadband.

Internet Australia, the body representing internet users, is calling for bipartisan support for any NBN strategy. But it notes that Australia has [dropped from 30th to 60th](#) in global rankings for average internet speeds.

So it wants bipartisan support to drop the current MTM strategy in favour to adopting [at least fibre to the distribution point](#), although it says fibre to the premises would be the "ultimate goal".

This article was originally published on [The Conversation](#). Read the [original article](#).

Source: The Conversation

Citation: How high-speed wireless compares to cable in boosting our internet speeds (2016, April 28) retrieved 24 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2016-04-high-speed-wireless-cable-boosting-internet.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.