


Viruses 'piggyback' on host microbes' success

March 16 2016



In this electron micrograph of bacteriophages attached to a bacterial cell, the viruses are the size and shape of coliphage T1.

In the microscopic life that thrives around coral reefs, San Diego State University researchers have discovered an interplay between viruses and microbes that defies conventional wisdom. As the density of microbes rises in an ecosystem, the number of viruses infecting those microbes rises with it. It has generally been assumed that this growing population of viruses, in turn, kills more and more microbes, keeping the microbial population in check. It's a model known as "kill-the-winner"—the winners being the blooming microbial cells and the killers being the viruses (mostly bacteria-killing viruses known as *bacteriophages*) that infect them.

However, a recent study of virus-host dynamics near [coral reefs](#) led by SDSU virologists suggests that, under certain conditions, viruses can change their infection strategy. As potential host microbes become more numerous, some viruses forego rapid replication and opt instead to reside peaceably inside their host, thereby reducing their the viruses' numbers. In a study published today in the journal *Nature*, the researchers refer to this alternative model as "piggyback-the-winner," and it could have implications for phage-based medicine and ecosystem resilience in the face of environmental disturbances that promote microbial blooms.

Microbial population explosions can take many forms—algal blooms in the ocean and in lakes, fungal blights in soil and bacterial infection in humans are just a few examples—and how viruses respond to this rapid microbial growth has long interested ecologists. Many viruses can make the switch between rapid replication and dormant coexistence. For decades, most researchers have assumed that during [microbial population](#) booms, their viruses take advantage of the opportunity to multiply by killing the abundant microbial winners.

"Kill-the-winner seems to make sense," said Ben Knowles, a viral ecologist at SDSU and the study's lead author. "The logic behind it has

been around for a while. The reasoning is very seductive."

Knowles, along with the study's other lead author, SDSU postdoctoral researcher Cynthia Silveira, and an international team of collaborators with expertise ranging from mathematics, physics and statistics to ecology and molecular biology, decided to put this model to the test. They collected samples of microbe-rich seawater near coral reefs in both the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans. Then, using a combination of microscopic and genomic techniques, they analyzed those samples for the abundance and nature of both microbes and the viruses that infect them.

Under the kill-the-winner model, researchers would expect to find more viruses per microbe in samples with a high microbial density and growth rates. What Knowles and his team found, however, was just the opposite: As microbial abundance increased, the virus-to-microbe ratio decreased significantly.

Next, Knowles and his team ran an experiment in which they incubated seawater from a pristine coral reef location and from Mission Bay in San Diego for several days, during which they monitored the viral and microbial abundance. The results matched their field sampling, with virus numbers staying relatively low even as microbial populations bloomed.

Why weren't the viruses exploiting the increasing population of hosts by infecting them and multiplying rapidly? Why weren't they killing the winner? Exploring this phenomenon further, the researchers used metagenomic analysis to determine whether the viruses in the sample showed virulent, predatory traits or the hallmarks of non-predatory lifestyles. Intriguingly, they found that in samples with a higher microbe count, viral communities became less virulent.

Instead of multiplying and killing off their booming host population, more of the viruses instead integrate themselves into their host. The viruses replicate more slowly, but they also avoid competing with other [viruses](#) and having to navigate with the host's own immunity defenses. This piggyback-the-winner model better explains virus -host dynamics during periods of fast microbial growth than the established kill-the-winner model, the researchers said.

"When you have a fast-growing host, if you're a virus, you profit more from integration," Knowles said. "It's just intelligent parasitism."

A better understanding of these dynamics holds promise for improving human health. For example, specially targeted phages have been suggested as a possible therapy for conditions like cystic fibrosis, which is caused by frequent bacterial lung infections. This discovery also could help improve marine ecologists' understanding of the microbiological forces that influence coral reef health.

More information: B. Knowles et al. Lytic to temperate switching of viral communities, *Nature* (2016). [DOI: 10.1038/nature17193](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17193)

Provided by San Diego State University

Citation: Viruses 'piggyback' on host microbes' success (2016, March 16) retrieved 27 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2016-03-viruses-piggyback-host-microbes-success.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--