

# Apple, US govt to face off in court over iPhone privacy

March 21 2016, by Jocelyne Zablitz

---



The US government and Apple will face off in court on Tuesday in a closely-watched case that could have wide-reaching implications on digital security and privacy

The US government and Apple will face off in court on Tuesday in a closely-watched case that could have wide-reaching implications on digital security and privacy.

The crucial hearing before a federal judge in Southern California focuses on the battle between the tech giant and federal investigators who want help from Apple to unlock an iPhone linked to one of the shooters in the December terror attack in San Bernardino, California.

"It's a fight over the future of high-tech surveillance, the trust infrastructure undergirding the global software ecosystem, and how far technology companies and software developers can be conscripted as unwilling suppliers of hacking tools for governments," wrote Julian Sanchez, a surveillance law expert at the libertarian Cato Institute in Washington.

"It's also the public face of a conflict that will undoubtedly be continued in secret, and is likely already well underway."

Apple, backed by a broad coalition of technology giants like Google, Facebook and Yahoo, argues that the FBI is seeking a "back door" into all iPhones as part of the probe into the December 2 massacre that left 14 people dead.

It also contends that the government is overstepping legal bounds by using a statute called the All Writs Act, which dates back to 1789, in order to force Apple to hack into the iPhone in question.



Protesters demonstrate outside the Federal Bureau of Investigation headquarters building in Washington, DC, in February 2016, objecting to the US government attempt to put a backdoor into the Apple I-Phone

The company says that in deciding the case, the court must take into account the "broader context" which touches on the larger debate over data privacy.

## **'Narrow, targeted order'**

The government has fired back, saying that Apple was not above the law and that its request for technical assistance concerns a single case—the Apple iPhone 5C, which was shooter Syed Farook's work phone from the San Bernardino health department.

Both Farook and his wife Tashfeen Malik died in a firefight with police after the attack. Two other phones linked to the couple were found

destroyed.

"It is a narrow, targeted order... The government and the community need to know what is on the terrorist's phone, and the government needs Apple's assistance to find out," Justice Department lawyers wrote in their brief to the court.

Each side in the case has dug in its heels, exchanging a volley of legal briefs, with the outcome being watched closely across the globe.



People visit a makeshift memorial near the Inland Regional Center in San Bernardino, California where Syed Farook and Tashfeen Malik killed 14 people

Tech companies, security experts and civil rights advocates say the issue is not so much about one iPhone as it is about setting a precedent that

would open the door to companies being forced to hand over customer data.

"This is very much not a case about this particular phone," Sanchez told AFP.

"The concern is about what happens next when it's established as a legal principle that the government cannot just require companies to turn over information they have—which they have been doing for a long time—but can require companies to write new software to in fact break their own security measures."

He said that would essentially amount to the government being handed a "master key" to devices.

"We are not just talking about risks to privacy, we are talking about the keys to things that serve essential security functions ... and it's an awfully dangerous thing to have start happening."

Also in question is whether Apple encryption engineers would abide by a court order to help the [government](#) unlock Farook's iPhone.

The New York Times, citing more than half-dozen current and former Apple employees, said some may refuse to abide by a court order and others could even quit the company rather than undermine the security of the software they created.

Experts also say that the likelihood of any significant information being found on the iPhone is slim given that it was Farook's work phone and that he and his wife apparently destroyed two other phones found after the attack.

"The odds that there are going to be things on this phone that are useful

is extremely slim," Sanchez said. "The odds that this kicks off a wave of extremely troubling demands that undermine the kind of network of trust and developer updates is very high."

Tuesday's hearing is expected to last some three hours, with each side presenting arguments and witnesses. A ruling is not expected the same day.

Any decision is also likely to be appealed and could go all the way to the Supreme Court, meaning the case could drag on.

© 2016 AFP

Citation: Apple, US govt to face off in court over iPhone privacy (2016, March 21) retrieved 23 May 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2016-03-apple-govt-court-iphone-privacy.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--