


New interactive map compares carbon footprints of Bay Area neighborhoods

January 7 2016, by Robert Sanders



A neighborhood-by-neighborhood inventory of carbon emissions will help households and cities compare and ideally lower their carbon footprints.


The Paris climate summit ended last year with landmark national commitments for greenhouse gas reductions, but much of the hard work of reducing emissions will fall on cities to change their residents' behavior.

To do that, cities need data on current carbon [emissions](#), and a [new map of neighborhood-by-neighborhood carbon consumption in the San Francisco Bay Area](#) provide this critical information, showing in detail

how the region contributes to global climate change.

The first-of-its-kind interactive map was produced by the University of California, Berkeley's CoolClimate Network and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, and covers census block groups –neighborhoods of several hundred to a few thousand households – in the nine-county area. Neighborhoods with relatively high emissions for any component of their [carbon footprints](#) show up as red, while low-emission neighborhoods are green.

"This is the most granular carbon footprint assessment anywhere in the world," said Christopher Jones, the program director of the CoolClimate Network and first author of a study about the Bay Area carbon inventory. "It includes everything: energy use, transportation, food, goods, services, construction, water and waste. No one has compared neighborhoods like this before."



Carbon footprint of typical San Francisco Bay Area household.

UC Berkeley researchers calculated the carbon footprints based on household consumption, regardless of where on the globe emissions occurred, as opposed to more common inventories that only track direct local emissions. For example, if a computer was made in China but purchased by a household in Berkeley, all emissions from the production of the computer are allocated to the household's Berkeley neighborhood.

The new Bay Area inventory is based on a full life-cycle analysis of the emissions generated in the production, use and disposal of each type of


product or service. In the case of motor vehicles, the inventory considers the greenhouse gases emitted in the production of all the individual parts that go into the vehicle, vehicle assembly, transporting the vehicle to the dealer, maintenance of the vehicle during its useful life, plus the emissions from refining and burning the fuel used to propel the vehicle.

"The development of a consumption-based greenhouse gas inventory is an important step toward protecting the climate," said Jack Broadbent, executive officer of the air quality district. "It provides the bigger picture of how goods and services consumed by each of us in the Bay Area contribute to climate change and, by extension, highlights opportunities to reduce those emissions."

Bay Area cities shown early versions of the new detailed map were eager for more information, Jones said, a sign that communities are becoming more proactive in altering consumer behavior.

"A large number of sessions at the Paris climate conference were dedicated to actions by cities and local governments," said Daniel Kammen, a co-author, professor of energy and resources and of public policy and director of UC Berkeley's Renewable and Appropriate Energy Laboratory, known as RAEL, where the work was conducted. "Cities really crave this information and we want to make it easier for them to get it."

Consumption map



Map of average household carbon footprints in S.F. Bay Area census block groups.

One striking result of the Bay Area inventory, Jones said, is the wide variation in size and composition of household carbon footprints. Some neighborhoods have footprints three or four times larger than others, even within the same city, suggesting the need for highly tailored climate campaigns to change consumer behavior.

Suburban residents, for example, tend to own more cars and larger homes, making them good targets for new low-carbon technology, such as photovoltaics and electric vehicles. Urban areas, on the other hand, tend to have low transportation costs and may best be targeted by campaigns to encourage a less carbon-intensive diet and supporting low-carbon local services.

"For Bay Area households, electricity is a tiny part of the problem, but it's a huge part of the solution," Jones said. "The biggest opportunity we identified to reduce emissions from consumption is to massively scale up electrification of our vehicles and our heating. The total combined potential savings is about 30 percent of the S.F. Bay Area's carbon footprint."

The CoolClimate Network last year published an online, interactive map of carbon footprints by ZIP code for the entire country. The new Bay Area inventory will be used as a pilot project for a statewide inventory by 2016, and could serve as a model for a similar inventory covering the entire U.S.

"As cities start to organize community-scale campaigns to change behavior, these maps become relevant because they can be used to target different neighborhoods depending on, for example, vehicle or electricity or natural gas usage," Jones said.

One example of a city-based approach to lowering [greenhouse gas emissions](#) is the Cool California Challenge, a statewide competition

between cities to reduce household carbon footprints. The program began as a pilot project by RAEL researchers in collaboration with the Air Resources Board in 2013 and 2014, and is now run by Energy Upgrade California.

The [interactive map](#) could also be used to pinpoint the best areas and designs for new housing. "The study really highlights the benefits of urban infill," said Jones. "The size and location of homes affect all aspects of household consumption."

Carbon footprints compared

The study, published online, found that transportation is the largest source of emissions by Bay Area households (33 percent), followed by food (19 percent), goods (18 percent), services (18 percent), heating fuels (5 percent), home construction (3 percent), electricity (2 percent) and waste (1 percent). In some areas, food accounts for over one-third of emissions.

Yet some cities have more than twice the overall carbon footprint of others, and motor vehicles aren't always the largest source of emissions. In some urban cores, like Oakland, food contributes roughly an equivalent amount as vehicles with a lot of variation within the city. In other cities, transportation-related emissions are upward of three times higher than in urban core areas.

Interestingly, this consumption-based approach finds about 35 percent higher greenhouse gas emissions than the traditional territorial approach for the region, largely due to higher emissions from imported food and goods.

"Our goal is to provide high-quality information for the cities and regions that are doing the hard but important work, through

encouragement or investments, to reduce their communities' emissions," Jones said.

More information: The paper is available online:
escholarship.org/uc/item/2sn7m83z

Provided by University of California - Berkeley

Citation: New interactive map compares carbon footprints of Bay Area neighborhoods (2016, January 7) retrieved 2 May 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2016-01-interactive-carbon-footprints-bay-area.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--