


Was *Darwinius* a little longer in the tooth than previously thought?

September 13 2015



Darwinius is one of the best preserved early fossil primates known to exist.

Credit: Jens L. Franzen, *PLoS ONE*

A famous fossil of an early primate shares more in common with modern lemurs based on how its teeth erupted, according to new model developed at U of T Scarborough.

The model, developed by PhD student Sergi López-Torres and Associate Professors Mary Silcox and Michael Schillaci, re-examined the interpretation of *Darwinius*, the best preserved fossil primate known to exist.

By looking at the sequence in which adult teeth come in - known as dental eruption - in primates, they found it had more in common with [lemurs](#) than squirrel monkeys, the model species used by the researchers who discovered *Darwinius*.

"Every species has a particular pattern by which their teeth come in and this allows us to estimate the age of fossils that died before their adult teeth could emerge," says López-Torres. "It seems that the pattern of dental eruption for *Darwinius* is more similar to that of lemurs than to that of monkeys."

Before looking at *Darwinius*, López-Torres did a large study of 97 living and fossil primates in order to get a clearer picture of how different species compare through patterns of dental development. He found that the three most primitive ancestors - the ancestor to lemurs and lorises, the ancestor to monkeys, apes, and tarsiers, and the ancestor to all primates - share the same eruption sequence with each other. That pattern shares some similarities with the dental eruption sequence found in *Darwinius*.

"The major difference is we found that anthropoids (ancestors to monkeys, apes and humans) are characterized by a late eruption of the third molar, which is something *Darwinius* clearly doesn't show," he says. "One idea that still stands links *Darwinius* to anthropoids, but since it doesn't show this late eruption, it looks more like a modern lemur."

Their model also suggests *Darwinius* was a little older at the time of death and would have weighed slightly less as an adult than the original estimates predicted.

The team that originally discovered *Darwinius* argued the 47-million-year-old fossil was more closely related to haplorrhines, the group that includes anthropoids (monkeys, apes, and humans) and tarsiers. Subsequent studies by the same group suggested *Darwinius* was specifically related to anthropoids, the primate lineage in which humans belong.

Other researchers argue that *Darwinius* is more likely a strepsirrhine, meaning it belongs to the opposite branch of the primate family tree, closer to lemurs and lorises.

"Our findings don't entirely support the strepsirrhine hypothesis, but it's certainly consistent with it," says López-Torres. "We can say for certain it's not consistent with the anthropoid hypothesis."

While the [new model](#) proposes only a slight change in adult weight and age at death - 622-642g and 1.05-1.14 years compared to original estimates of 650-900g and 9-10 months - the findings are significant in terms of figuring out what *Darwinius* was actually like.

"It may seem trivial going from 9 or 10 months to a little over a year, but if you consider that, for example, some species of lemur can reproduce at a year old, this difference could mean a major change in what the life

of this animal was like," notes López-Torres.

"Our goal as palaeontologists is to bring these animals back to life. It's the best preserved fossil primate. It even has stomach contents, so there's a lot of potential for understanding its biology," adds Silcox.

"We want to be able to answer broader evolutionary questions, but we also need to have a nuanced view of what this particular animal was like."

The model is explained in a new research published online in the journal *Royal Society Open Science*.

More information: Life history of the most complete fossil primate skeleton: exploring growth models for *Darwinius*, *Royal Society Open Science*, Published 9 September 2015. [DOI: 10.1098/rsos.150340](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.150340)

Provided by University of Toronto

Citation: Was *Darwinius* a little longer in the tooth than previously thought? (2015, September 13) retrieved 2 May 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2015-09-darwinius-longer-tooth-previously-thought.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--