

## How science lost one of its greatest minds in the trenches of Gallipoli

August 11 2015, by Mark Lorch



A young Henry Moseley in the lab. Credit: wikimedia

August 10, 1915. The <u>Gallipoli</u> sun beats down on the back of a Turkish sharpshooter. He is patient and used to the discomfort. He wipes the



sweat from his eyes and peers back down the sight of his rifle, sweeping back and forth across the enemy lines. He's hoping to spot a target worth taking a shot at as each muzzle flash risks giving his position away.

His sight settles on the shoulder pip of a second lieutenant. The target bends down out of sight, then reappears, now with a phone at his ear. He stands still as he sends his dispatch. It's an easy shot for the sniper. He squeezes the trigger and yet another young man dies.

The Turkish soldier settles down in his hole, pleased with his marksmanship. He wonders if he's made a significant difference to the war effort (probably not).

However, he may well have caused the <u>single most costly death of the</u> <u>entire war</u>. His victim, now lying in a trench on a peninsula in Turkey, is 27-year-old Henry Moseley. The loss to science is incalculable.

## **Hidden patterns**

Despite his young age, Moseley had already made a stunning contribution to chemistry and physics. It is thanks to him that the <u>periodic table</u> looks the way it does today.

He had graduated from Oxford just five years before his death. Immediately after graduating he was employed as a teaching assistant by the great physicist Ernest Rutherford in Manchester. Moseley hated it, describing his duties as "teaching elements to idiots" and his students as "mostly stupid". His real passion was research, so in his spare time he used his energies to set up his experiments.

Moseley was working in an era of physics that was concerned with the power of X-rays. The <u>Braggs</u>, a father-son team working in Leeds, were developing X-ray crystallography. This allowed science to probe the



atomic structure of molecules.

Reiben	Gruppe I. R*0	Gruppo II. — RO	Gruppe III. — R*0*	Gruppe IV. RH <sup>4</sup> RO <sup>4</sup>	Gruppo V. RH <sup>1</sup> R <sup>1</sup> 0 <sup>5</sup>	Gruppe VI. RH <sup>a</sup> RO <sup>3</sup>	Gruppe VII. RH R*0"	Gruppo VIII.
1	II=1							
2	Li=7	Be=9,4	B==11	C=12	N=14	O == 16	F=19	
3	Na==23	Mg == 24	A1=27,3	Si=28	P=31	S=32	Cl=35,5	
4	K=39	Ca=40	-=44	Ti=48	V==51	Cr=52	Mn=55	Fo=56, Co=59, Ni=59, Cu=63.
5	(Cu=63)	Zn=65	-=68	-=72	As=75	So=78	Br=80	
6	Rb == 85	Sr=87	?Yt=88	Zr== 90	Nb == 94	Mo≔96	-==100	Ru=104, Rh=104, Pd=106, Ag=108
7	(Ag≈108)	Cd=112	In=113	Sn==118	Sb=122	Te=125	J=127	
8	Cs== 133	Ba=137	?Di=138	?Co=140	_	-	-	
9	(-)	_	_	_	_	_	_	
10	-	-	?Er== 178	?La=180	Ta=182	W=184	-	Os=195, Ir=197, Pt=198, Au=199.
11	(Au=199)	Hg=200	T1== 204	Pb=207	Bi=208	-		
12	-	_	-	Th=231	-	U==240		

Mendeleev's periodic system. Credit: wikimedia

But instead of jumping on that bandwagon – shining X-rays at crystals to work out chemical structures – Moseley turned his attention to the elements themselves. He studied the X-rays the elements gave off when bombarded with electrons. His results had major implications for the famous periodic table in which elements are presented.

Back in 1869, <u>Dimitri Mendeleev</u> arranged the elements in a logical fashion. He ordered them by weight and then laid them out in a table. Next he shuffled the dimensions of his table to take similarities of elements into account. For example, lithium, sodium and potassium have similar chemical properties and were arranged in one group on a line of the table (modern tables have been flipped so that these groups are now



in columns).

Likewise for fluorine, chlorine, bromine and iodine. And so the periodic table was born. The elements were now arranged in a clear sequence – and each was given an atomic number denoting its position in that sequence. But there were a few problems, some elements didn't quite fit the order. Their behaviour suggested one position in the table, but their atomic weight put them somewhere else. So the atomic weight and atomic number of the elements didn't quite correlate.

In Manchester, and later in Oxford, Moseley took samples of all known elements, from aluminium to gold, and measured the X-rays they gave off after bombarding them with electrons. He discovered that each element emitted a distinct frequency of X-rays, and that this frequency correlated with the <u>atomic numbers</u>. When he plotted the square root of the frequency, against the atomic number <u>everything fell into straight lines</u> on his graph.

For the first time it became clear that an element's atomic number, corresponding to its position on the table, had a basis in physics and was not merely a convenient label. And that these numbers (confirmed by Moseley's measurements) resolved the previous issues with the periodic table. He also noted points missing from his graph and surmised that these gaps must be due to yet-to-be discovered elements. It was wasn't until 30 years after his death that that the last of Moseley's missing elements were discovered.

## Nobel effort

Moseley's achieved all this in a research career lasting just 40 months. At the outbreak of war in 1914 he signed up, becoming a signalling officer in the Royal Engineers. Had he survived, it is likely he would have been awarded the 1916 Nobel Prize in Physics (as it was no Nobel Prize in



Physics was awarded that year). There is no telling what other breakthroughs might have been achieved in the alternative history in which he survived the war.

There is one more legacy that Moseley left. His death raised the question of whether great minds such as his should really be risked on the battle field. Despite the war, the international scientific community was outraged at the loss of such a renowned scientist, who still had so much to offer.

From then on scientists were used in a very different way in wars. For better or worse scientists in the next great war developed <u>penicillin</u>, <u>radar</u>, <u>programmable computers</u> and, of course, <u>the Manhattan project</u>. All these inventions had much greater impacts on World War II than any of the individuals involved could have made at the front line.

This story is published courtesy of <u>The Conversation</u> (under Creative Commons-Attribution/No derivatives).

Source: The Conversation

Citation: How science lost one of its greatest minds in the trenches of Gallipoli (2015, August 11) retrieved 18 July 2024 from <a href="https://phys.org/news/2015-08-science-lost-greatest-minds-trenches.html">https://phys.org/news/2015-08-science-lost-greatest-minds-trenches.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.