

Shallow fracking raises questions for water, new research shows

July 21 2015



Stanford Professor Rob Jackson studies fracking operations such as this one in Pennsylvania for their effects on groundwater. Credit: Rob Jackson

The United States now produces about as much crude oil as Saudi Arabia does, and enough natural gas to export in large quantities. That's thanks to hydraulic fracturing, a mining practice that involves a rock-cracking pressurized mix of water, sand and chemicals.

Ongoing research by Stanford environmental scientist Rob Jackson attempts to minimize the risks of "fracking" to underground drinking water sources.

The most recent such study, published in *Environmental Science & Technology*, finds that at least 6,900 oil and gas wells in the U.S. were fracked less than a mile (5,280 feet) from the surface, and at least 2,600 wells were fracked at depths shallower than 3,000 feet, some as shallow as 100 feet. This occurs despite many reports that describe fracking as safe for drinking water only if it occurs at least thousands of feet to a mile underground, according to Jackson.

The authors also estimated water use for [hydraulic fracturing](#) in each state. The states with the highest average water use per well were Arkansas, Louisiana, West Virginia and Pennsylvania.

Perhaps most surprisingly, the researchers discovered that at least 2,350 wells less than one mile deep had been fracked using more than 1 million gallons of water each. Shallower high-volume hydraulic fracturing poses a greater potential threat to underground water sources because there is so little separation between the chemicals pumped underground and the drinking water above them.

"Shallow hydraulic fracturing is surprisingly common," said Jackson, the Michelle and Kevin Douglas Provostial Professor in the School of Earth, Energy & Environmental Sciences and a senior fellow at the Stanford Woods Institute for the Environment and the Precourt Institute for Energy. "The places where hydraulic fracturing is both shallow and

water-intensive may need additional safeguards."

For example, Arkansas had more than 300 wells fracked shallower than 3,000 feet, using an average of 5 million gallons of water and chemicals. Other states that fracked wells shallower than 3,000 feet using more than a million gallons each included New Mexico (16), Texas (10), Pennsylvania (seven) and California (two).

Energy revolution

Jackson has tracked the dramatic transformation toward energy independence and the pressing questions swirling around fracking's impact on water supplies.

"There's a lot at stake economically," Jackson said. "My interest is in trying to make the process as safe as possible."

Although fracking in the U.S. produces more than 100 billion gallons of wastewater per year, the process requires significantly less water per unit of energy than extraction and processing for coal and nuclear power, according to past research by Jackson and his colleagues.

As part of the so-called frackwater they inject into the ground, drilling companies use proprietary blends of chemicals that can include hydrochloric acids, toluene and benzene. When the wastewater comes back up after use, it often includes those and potentially dangerous natural chemicals such as arsenic, selenium and radioactive radium drawn up from subterranean recesses.

Because oil and gas companies must drill tens of thousands of new wells each year in the United States to maintain production through time, groundwater contamination remains a possibility.

Safer fracking

Using innovative techniques such as isotopic "tracer" compounds that distinguish the source of chemicals in well water, Jackson has not found evidence that frack water contaminants seep upward to drinking-water aquifers from deep underground. He has, however, shown that failures in steel and cement well casings nearer the surface are often to blame for leaks.

While much has been made of fracking's potential impacts on water now, Jackson also wants to understand legacy effects such as chemical leakage through time.

"The public pays to clean up acid mine drainage today because of poor practices decades ago," Jackson said. "What are we doing today that may cause problems tomorrow?"

In particular, he thinks that states aren't saving enough money to tackle future problems, choosing instead to spend their oil and gas revenues today.

While some countries, such as Canada, the United Kingdom and Germany, have instituted or are considering special requirements for shallow fracking wells, most U.S. states lack additional oversight for such operations. Only two U.S. states, Colorado and Texas, have special requirements for shallow hydraulic fracturing.

In the study, Jackson and his co-authors suggest that shallow wells, in particular, may warrant special safeguards, including:

- More information from operators about details such as distance between groundwater resources and potential fractures
- State assessments of additional safety measures needed for wells

fracked shallower than 3,000 feet

- A mandatory registry of shallow fracking locations
- Full disclosure of chemicals used in [fracking](#) operations
- Pre-drilling testing of nearby groundwater sources

Jackson noted a number of positive changes in the industry in the past few years. For example, drilling companies are increasingly reusing and recycling their wastewater, even though the process is more expensive and labor-intensive than the standard approach of re-injecting it thousands of feet underground.

Provided by Stanford University

Citation: Shallow fracking raises questions for water, new research shows (2015, July 21)
retrieved 24 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2015-07-shallow-fracking.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--