

Perfume could be the riskiest gift you'll ever buy

February 16 2015, by S Craig Roberts, Caroline Allen And Kelly Cobey



Those of a nervous disposition might be better off buying chocolates. Credit: Özgür Mülazımoğlu

When it comes to making careful plans to impress that significant other, certain things can seem like musts. Classy restaurant – check. Romantic atmosphere – check. Best suit or little black dress – check.

Many will pay just as much attention to how they smell, of course. And if it's a special occasion, a gift of perfume might well be on the agenda too. Either way, read on. There are some must-knows about the science

of smell and perfume that may well be new to you.

The nose knows

Smell is the [dominant sense](#) in many animals, including humans, and meetings between individuals usually begin with a period of intense mutual sniffing. From this olfactory exploration, animals glean relevant information about a potential mate's fertility and quality, enabling decisions about whether to breed now or wait until someone better comes along.

While our greetings tend to be more reserved, research on the perception of human [body odour](#) reveals that similar messages lurk within our armpits. Researchers commonly test such perceptions using armpit odour collected on worn t-shirts or underarm pads, the wearers having been asked to avoid using fragranced products beforehand.

In [experimental tests](#), men find women's odour more pleasant and sexy when they are in the fertile part of their menstrual cycle than at other times. Women are more attracted to odours of men who have attractive non-olfactory qualities, such as being [socially dominant](#), [facially attractive](#), or having an [air of confidence](#) about them. So smells are important when assessing partners, [especially for women](#).

Our body's natural smells also appear to provide a for couples to check out their genetic compatibility. Research using the same t-shirt method [indicates that](#) both sexes prefer the odour of potential partners who are genetically dissimilar when it comes to a set of genes known as the major histocompatibility complex (MHC). A range of other vertebrates, from fish and reptiles to birds and mammals, [show the same smell preference](#), apparently because this ultimately produces healthier offspring.

Arcane aromas

So where do perfumes fit into the picture? Applying perfume to the body [probably emerged](#) as a means of disguising the build-up of odour on clothing, which in times past was often worn for weeks or months at a time. Because ingredients were expensive, perfumes were associated with high social status.

There are numerous references to people using perfume in ancient scripts including the [Old Testament](#) and the writings of the Roman natural historian [Pliny the Elder](#). The oldest known perfume factory, [discovered 12 years ago](#) near the Cypriot town of Pyrgos, dates back about 4000 years.

Eau de yes please

Nowadays, of course, perfumes are relatively cheap and accessible. Despite this and the advent of washing machines and ventilated kitchens, we continue to use them. The social stigma of bad body-odour persists, and the modern fragrance industry is worth billions of pounds worldwide.

But if we need perfumes to simply mask our bad odour, why are there so many different products available? And how do perfumes change or block the potentially relevant information contained within body odour?

Research is now challenging the conventional view that perfumes simply mask bad odour. [In one study](#), researchers asked participants to wear cotton underarm pads, as described above, but they were instructed to apply a particular fragrance under one armpit while leaving the other fragrance-free. Unsurprisingly perhaps, volunteer sniffers later found the fragranced armpit odour to be more pleasant.

But then the researchers asked a new set of participants to apply their fragrance of choice under one armpit and to apply another fragrance, chosen by the experimenters, under the other. This time, the sniffers judged the fragrance/body odour blends as more attractive when they involved the wearer's own preferred fragrance – even though the sniffers found the two fragrances roughly comparable when there was no body odour involved. The conclusion? People select fragrances that complement their own body odour, producing a favourable blend.

How might we achieve this? This question brings us back to the MHC genes that we mentioned earlier. A [key study](#) determined the MHC group of different sniffers and then noted which odours they preferred among a range of common ingredients that might contribute to a perfume that they would wear.

The results revealed a correlation between certain MHC groups and preferences for certain ingredients, suggesting that we choose fragrances that enhance the MHC signals that we are already giving off. Yet these correlations disappeared when the same sniffers rated the ingredients for a perfume their partner might choose to wear. At the genetic level, perfume preferences only work when thinking about ourselves.

[Another experiment](#) took a slightly different approach to reach a similar conclusion. Researchers first extracted MHC peptides, a signature component of MHC molecules, from a number of volunteers. They then spiked samples of the volunteers' body odour with peptides representative of either their own MHC or of other people's MHC. When they were then asked to choose which spiked odour sample smelled like themselves, they tended to choose the one spiked with their own MHC peptides.

Back to the perfume counter

Taken together, these studies suggest that we evaluate perfumes, at least in part, according to whether they suit our individual, genetically influenced odour.

In an ideal world we might all know our partner's MHC genotype and choose perfumes that suited them accordingly, perhaps following some helpful system of colour coding or the like. Unfortunately this doesn't look likely to happen in any major way any time soon – the test currently costs about £160 a head.

So what lessons can be learned from these studies? One main point is that choosing a perfume for your partner based on your own preference is unlikely to work well. Your best bet is to ask perfume shop staff to select a perfume that smells roughly similar to the one you know your partner likes. Or do it yourself using perfume finders online, such as [FR.eD](#) or [Nose](#).

For those choosing a fragrance for themselves, the lesson is to ensure you select one that really suits you. In the study of odour/fragrance blends, there were a few wearers who bucked the trend and smelled better with the experimenter-assigned perfume than with the brand they chose themselves.

So it's always worth investing some time in making a choice, and to test-drive it on your skin first. If this sounds daunting, you can at least proceed in the knowledge that the person best placed to decide what [perfume](#) suits you best is looking back at you in the mirror.

This story is published courtesy of [The Conversation](#) (under Creative Commons-Attribution/No derivatives).

Source: The Conversation

Citation: Perfume could be the riskiest gift you'll ever buy (2015, February 16) retrieved 27 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2015-02-perfume-riskiest-gift-youll.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.