

Strange tale of fish eye evolution shows how new species could be born

January 22 2015, by William Feeney



Fish vision just got more complex. Credit: Janderk, CC BY-SA

Evolution allows animals to develop superb adaptations to survive and flourish in environmental niches. The evolution of fish eyes is one such intriguing example. However, tracing how different kinds of fish eyes evolved is not always easy. New research is finally throwing some light



on this evolutionary feat and it is helping us understand how new species are born.

The diversity of life on Earth is a result of evolutionary processes acting over millions of years. Small changes to the genetic make-up of an organism, such as those that sometimes occur during sexual reproduction, can confer an advantage over competitors and are passed onto the next generation. Changes that result in a disadvantage, by contrast, are filtered out of the population.

While this is most commonly recognised as small mutations, wholegenome, or <u>single-gene duplications</u> can also occur and are generally responsible for larger changes. Whole-genome duplications are considered to have laid the genetic foundation on which groups of animals, such as vertebrates and fishes have thrived, while single-gene <u>duplications</u> are thought to facilitate the creation of new species.

New colours, new perspective

Opsin (visual) genes are a central component of animal vision and provide fantastic examples of how changes at a molecular level, such as through gene duplication, can affect how animals perceive their environment. This is because molecular changes in opsin genes can result in measurable changes to the colours that an animal can perceive. These changes are important to study because they can help explain how diversity among species is created.





Now we have a better idea of how this dusky dottyback sees the world. Credit: Fabio Cortesi

Compared to tetrapods – that is, mammals, amphibians, birds and reptiles – which possess a relatively stable opsin arrangement, gene duplications have helped a vast array of opsin genes to evolve in the bony fishes. In these fishes, the majority of opsin gene duplications are recognised to have occurred in the long-wave (red) and medium-wave (green) genes, with only one previously observed in the short-wave (blue) gene in the spiny-rayed fishes (which include basses and perches). But, when compared to other gene families, the apparent lack of duplications in the blue opsin suggests that its story may be more complex than was appreciated.

With this in mind, Fabio Cortesi, Zuzana Musilová and colleagues set out to re-evaluate the evolutionary history of the blue opsin (SWS2) in bony fishes in a new study published in <u>Proceedings of the National</u> <u>Academy of Sciences</u>.



Using the latest gene-sequencing and data-mining techniques, they explored the genomes of close to 100 fish species to examine blue opsin diversity. They found a major gene duplication about 190-170m years ago in a species of fish called neoteleosts. They also found two other gene duplication events: one in the lizardfishes (Aulopiformes), which appears to have been an isolated event, and another that coincided with the emergence of the first percomorph fishes (about 110-130m years ago), which include the dottybacks (Pseudochromidae).

Through dottybacks' eyes

However, just knowing about gene duplication wasn't enough. Not all changes in genes have an effect on the functions they serve in an animal.

To get an idea of whether this gene duplication conferred a function, they then conducted an in-depth study of the dusky dottyback, *Pseudochromis fuscus*. They used this species as it was found to possess three duplications of the blue opsin. Using techniques that allow assessment of the spectral sensitivities of an animal's retina, they found that the dottyback's retina possessed cone cells that had two sensitivity peaks which fell within the predicted range of the blue opsin duplication. This showed that the duplication did indeed confer a function to detect blue light in their environment, which presumably helps them survive better there.

But juvenile dottybacks live in the water column while adult dottybacks live on the reef. Perhaps this gene duplication was differently expressed in juvenile and adults? They tested this and found that expression did differ between life stages, suggesting that juvenile and adult dottybacks possess slightly different visual systems from one another.

The changes in genes have a lot to tell us about evolution, but it's not always possible to understand the story. However, what Cortesi,



Musilová and their colleagues have shown is that, with well-planned experiments, we can get enough of a peep into a complex process. We can now say that facilitating the development of new visual sensitivities, gene duplications may allow species to thrive in different light environments which could ultimately result in the evolution of <u>new</u> <u>species</u>.

This story is published courtesy of <u>The Conversation</u> (*under Creative Commons-Attribution/No derivatives*).

Source: The Conversation

Citation: Strange tale of fish eye evolution shows how new species could be born (2015, January 22) retrieved 3 May 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2015-01-strange-tale-fish-eye-evolution.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.