


Both water flow and channel capacity are necessary for accurate flood hazard risk

January 22 2015, by Julie Cohen




Red represents increases and blue decreases in flood hazard frequency. Deeper colors indicate sites with statistically significant trends. Credit: UCSB

Millions of Americans live in flood-prone areas. In 2012 alone, the cost of direct flood damage hit nearly half a billion dollars. However, because the factors contributing to flood risk are not fully understood, river basin management—and even the calculation of flood insurance premiums—may be misguided.

A new study by UC Santa Barbara's Michael Singer and colleagues presents a paradigm shift in flood hazard analysis that could change the way such risk is assessed in the future. The results are published in the journal *Geophysical Research Letters*.

Existing analyses attribute flood hazard to how often high water flows occur. They don't, however, take into account the ability of river channels to accommodate them. The researchers present a novel method that compares the effects of channel capacity and [stream flow](#) on flood hazard frequency. They also document how flood hazard has changed over time in more than 400 streams across the United States.

"Our results demonstrate that changes in river channel boundaries directly impact flood hazard trends across the U.S.," said Singer, an associate researcher at UCSB's Earth Research Institute. "We show that in order to accurately calculate flood hazard and insurance premiums for river basins, channel capacity needs to be considered jointly with stream flow."



Sites where flow frequency and channel capacity effects reinforce and offset one another are indicated by filled and open circles, respectively. Red represents net increases and blue net decreases in flood hazard frequency. Credit: UCSB

Lead author Louise Slater, a Ph.D. student at the University of St. Andrews in Scotland, gathered recently digitized U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) data. Using sophisticated filtering processes, she whittled down the original dataset of 11,000 stations to 401 that were well distributed across the country and developed a procedure for separating the effects of hydrology and geomorphology on flood hazard. On average, the study data spanned about 40 to 60 years for each location.

In order to find relative magnitudes of two data components—water quantity as well as channel size and condition—the researchers separated these factors and then investigated how they might interact with each other. Water quantity is also known as the flow frequency effect; channel size and conditions (morphology) is referred to as the channel capacity.

"If there's more water coming from the watershed but the channel gets enlarged somehow, that would offset the increased water flow," Singer

explained. "These two factors potentially interacting could have no change or they could increase the amount of change in one direction or another."

The findings revealed that important trends in channel morphology through time were three times more common than those related to water quantity, indicating that changes in the channel's geometry tend to offset increases in water flow. "That raised alarm bells," Singer said. "It suggests that a lot of areas that we might not have considered to have trends in [flood risk](#) actually do."

For example, in the Pacific Northwest the increase in flood hazard is associated with the channel capacity effect because channels are filling in with sediment or vegetation that impede the flow of water. "The channel morphology has a big impact on flood hazard, making this an area where flood hazard has been underestimated," Singer noted.

"The opposite is happening in the Mississippi River Valley," he added. "This is an area where people might overestimate the impact of increased stream flow because the channels are adjusting to accommodate an accelerated hydrologic cycle."

The study demonstrates that 10-year trends in channel capacity significantly impact long-term flooding frequency and that flood hazard is changing substantially at the majority of the sites studied. "Based on our analysis, we argue that in order to develop appropriate management strategies or to set flood insurance premiums for any location, you need to consider both the flow frequency and channel capacity effects of flood hazard," Singer concluded.

More information: *Geophysical Research Letters*,
[onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10 ... 014GL062482/abstract](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2014GL062482/abstract)

Provided by University of California - Santa Barbara

Citation: Both water flow and channel capacity are necessary for accurate flood hazard risk (2015, January 22) retrieved 20 March 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2015-01-channel-capacity-accurate-hazard.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.