

Bird brains may help drones fly and avoid crashing

November 5 2014, by Mandyam Srinivasan And Ingo Schiffner



Budgies in flight – how come they don't crash into each other? Flickr/Jim Bendon, CC BY

Imagine a sky full of autonomous flying machines delivering anything from fast-food to important documents, medical supplies or just a surprise gift for someone special. How do you stop them all colliding with each other and any other obstacles on their journey?



Google has <u>been experimenting</u> with such delivery methods with test flights this year in Queensland's Darling Downs. The online store Amazon is lobbying the Federal Aviation Administration for permission to continue testing its <u>Prime Air</u> autonomous flying machines to deliver packages to customers within 30 minutes.

If all the testing proves a success it won't be long before these autonomous aircraft take to the skies. So for lessons in how to fly, why not look to nature for some guidance solutions?

Help from the bird brain

Birds have a remarkable ability to fly through complex environments with incredible speed, rarely colliding. You only have to see how they rapidly fly through thick forests to appreciate how their minds and bodies work.

This was the inspiration behind studying choices made by budgerigars in flight. We had already studied how <u>individual budgies display</u> <u>favouritism</u> for one foot over the other, or what direction they take to land on a perch.

We have also sent budgies down a tunnel, where they were met by an obstruction in the middle, with a choice to fly either to the left or to the right of it. What we found surprised us.

Individual birds would <u>favour one side</u> to the other, meaning that one particular bird would consistently favour flying to the left, while another would consistently favour flying to the right – even if it meant choosing the narrower passage.

This was puzzling as we expected the birds to always fly through the wider passage, which would be safer. Even if the birds had a preference



for one side when both passages were equally wide, we expected most of the birds to have the same bias – in just the way most humans prefer to use their <u>right hand</u>.

With birds, neither of these was the case.

Try a flock of budgies

In order to try to understand and explain this behaviour, we developed a <u>mathematical model</u> of a scenario in which a flock of birds encounters an environment that offers two passages of flight.

If all of the birds were to choose the wider passage, there would be a traffic jam at this passage and the narrower passage would not be used at all. On the other hand, if all of the birds had an inherent right-preference (as in humans), then the right-hand passage would always be used, regardless of whether it is wider or narrower than the passage on the left.

This would be very inefficient because the left-hand passage would never be used, even if it happened to be the wider one.

Our mathematical model reveals that the budgerigars may have evolved a clever tactic that expedites the passage of the entire flock. When different individuals are endowed with different biases (left or right), and with different degrees of bias, it turns out that the number of individuals that choose each passage will be proportional to the width of the passage.

So the wider passage will attract more birds and the narrower passage fewer birds, ensuring that both passages are utilised equally effectively, allowing the flock to pass through very quickly.

What is yet to be done is to examine how a real flock of budgies behaves



when confronted with a choice of two apertures – so far, the birds have only been tested one at a time, and so we do not know whether or how interactions between individuals will affect performance. This is a subject for future study.

Think of the wingspan

There is also the question of how birds handle situations where the aperture is smaller than the wingspan of the bird. For this purpose we <u>trained birds</u> to fly through a vertically oriented gap of variable width.

When the gap was wider than the birds wingspan, the bird passed through it without interrupting its normal wing beat cycle. But when traversing narrower gaps, the bird would interrupt its wing beat cycle by raising its wings or tucking them against the body, to reduce its width to that of its body, allowing collision-free passage through the gap.





Bird landing on a axially-oriented perch, showing favouritism for the right side and the left foot.

Interestingly, birds proved to be much less cautious when flying through these gaps than humans. In comparable experiments, people were much more careful when walking through doors, <u>rotating their shoulders</u> when the passage was 130% the size of their shoulder width.

Birds, on the other hand, were much more precise at estimating the size of the gap and only interrupted their normal wing beat cycle when the



gap was narrower than 106% of their wingspan.

This is particularly interesting because, unlike humans, <u>birds</u> with their laterally placed eyes cannot use stereo-information to precisely estimate distances and must rely on other information.

Back to the deliveries



Motion study of a bird flying through an aperture.

Although interesting from a behavioural point of view, this also has the potential for helping the design of navigation and guidance systems for autonomous drones – especially when you have a <u>flock</u> of delivery drones.

As we edge closer towards fully <u>autonomous aircraft</u> that are able to fly



completely without any human interference, understanding these interactions, and whether to endow them with in-built individual biases for directional choice, will become ever more important to consider in designing and developing fleets of automated aircraft.

When trying to avoid obstacles such as a storm front, it wouldn't be enough for a computer to simply say "we'll all go through the wider passage" because that could quickly become very crowded and dangerous, so we will need something much more sophisticated.

It's a tantalising prospect – what the humble budgerigar does naturally could have wide-reaching implications in our future lives.

This story is published courtesy of <u>The Conversation</u> (*under Creative Commons-Attribution/No derivatives*).

Source: The Conversation

Citation: Bird brains may help drones fly and avoid crashing (2014, November 5) retrieved 2 May 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2014-11-bird-brains-drones.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.