

# No sign of health or nutrition problems from GMO livestock feed, study finds

September 26 2014

---

A new scientific review from the University of California, Davis, reports that the performance and health of food-producing animals consuming genetically engineered feed, first introduced 18 years ago, has been comparable to that of animals consuming non-GE feed.

The review study also found that scientific studies have detected no differences in the nutritional makeup of the meat, milk or other food products derived from [animals](#) that ate genetically engineered feed.

The review, led by UC Davis animal scientist Alison Van Eenennaam, examined nearly 30 years of livestock-feeding studies that represent more than 100 billion animals.

Titled "Prevalence and Impacts of Genetically Engineered Feedstuffs on Livestock Populations," the review article is now available online in open-access form through the American Society of Animal Science. It will appear in print and open-access in the October issue of the *Journal of Animal Science*.

Genetically engineered crops were first introduced in 1996. Today, 19 genetically engineered plant species are approved for use in the United States, including the major crops used extensively in [animal feed](#): alfalfa, canola, corn, cotton, soybean and sugar beet.

Food-producing animals such as cows, pigs, goats, chickens and other poultry species now consume 70 to 90 percent of all genetically

engineered crops, according to the new UC Davis review. In the United States, alone, 9 billion food-producing animals are produced annually, with 95 percent of them consuming feed that contains genetically engineered ingredients.

"Studies have continually shown that the milk, meat and eggs derived from animals that have consumed GE feed are indistinguishable from the products derived from animals fed a non-GE diet," Van Eenennaam said. "Therefore, proposed labeling of animal products from livestock and poultry that have eaten GE feed would require supply-chain segregation and traceability, as the products themselves would not differ in any way that could be detected."

Now that a second generation of genetically engineered crops that have been optimized for [livestock feed](#) is on the horizon, there is a pressing need to internationally harmonize the regulatory framework for these products, she said.

"To avoid international trade disruptions, it is critical that the regulatory approval process for genetically engineered products be established in countries importing these feeds at the same time that regulatory approvals are passed in the countries that are major exporters of animal feed," Van Eenennaam said.

**More information:** [asas.org/docs/default-source/j...  
es/jas8124\\_final.pdf](https://asas.org/docs/default-source/j.../jas8124_final.pdf)

Provided by UC Davis

Citation: No sign of health or nutrition problems from GMO livestock feed, study finds (2014, September 26) retrieved 25 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2014-09-health-nutrition->

[problems-gmo-livestock.html](http://problems-gmo-livestock.html)

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.