

Group turns on Facebook privacy case deal (Update)

February 13 2014



A consumer watchdog group turned on Facebook, rejecting a \$20 million deal made to settle charges that the social network violated privacy by using "likes" as endorsements for ads.

Children's advocacy groups fired at Facebook, rejecting a \$20 million deal made to settle charges that the social network violated privacy by using "likes" as endorsements for ads.

US-based Public Citizen led organizations backing a legal brief urging a



federal appeals court in San Francisco to toss the deal inked last year.

The filing said the settlement fails to compel the social network to change its ways when it comes to using profile images of teenage members in ads without the consent of parents or guardians.

The practice is specifically banned by laws in California and six other US states, according to Public Citizen attorney Scott Michelman.

"The capture and republication of teen postings by Facebook is a pernicious assault on their rights to decide where their messages should go," said Robert Fellmeth, director of the Children's Advocacy Institute at the University of San Diego School of Law, which is representing another challenger to the settlement.

A US judge in August approved the deal in August to make Facebook pay for using members "likes" as endorsements for ads.

The pot of money is to be divvied up among attorneys, Internet privacy rights groups and Facebook users who filed claims in the class-action lawsuit.

Those turning on the deal include Campaign for a Commercial-Free Childhood, which is in line to receive \$290,000 of that Facebook money if the settlement deal survives appeals lodged against it.

"Its purported protections are largely illusory, and it will undermine future efforts to protect minors on Facebook," CCFC director Susan Linn said in a statement.

"We could do a lot of good with \$290,000, but we cannot benefit from a settlement that we now realize conflicts with our mission to protect children from harmful marketing.



Under the terms of the deal, California-based Facebook would to let parents control how their children's likes and posts are used, or not use them by default if children's parents are not on the social network.

Off-limits by default

Public Citizen has joined those calling for posts or likes by those younger than 18 years old to be automatically made off-limits for ads.

The judge who signed off on the settlement reasoned that the deal was fair given the challenges of proving Facebook members were financially harmed or that signaling "likes" for products didn't imply consent.

"The court-approved settlement provides substantial benefits to everyone on Facebook, including teens and their parents, and goes beyond what any other company has done to provide consumers visibility into and control over their information in advertising," Facebook spokeswoman Jodi Seth said.

Seth added that the argument that the settlement doesn't jibe with state laws was raised and rejected last year, and that other groups still support the deal.

Facebook has gone public with plans to discontinue its Sponsored Stories advertising program in April.

The company reasoned in court that the practice merely takes information users have already voluntarily disclosed to their "friends," and sometimes redisplays it to the same persons, in a column that also contains more traditional paid advertising.

The lawsuit was filed in early 2011 after Facebook launched the advertising program.



© 2014 AFP

Citation: Group turns on Facebook privacy case deal (Update) (2014, February 13) retrieved 26 April 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2014-02-facebook-opposition-privacy-settlement.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.