

## 'Ardi' skull reveals links to human lineage

January 6 2014



This is the 4.4 million-year-old cranial base of *Ardipithecus ramidus* from Aramis, Middle Awash research area, Ethiopia. Credit: Tim White.

One of the most hotly debated issues in current human origins research focuses on how the 4.4 million-year-old African species *Ardipithecus ramidus* is related to the human lineage. "Ardi" was an unusual primate. Though it possessed a tiny brain and a grasping big toe used for clambering in the trees, it had small, humanlike canine teeth and an upper pelvis modified for bipedal walking on the ground.



Scientists disagree about where this mixture of features positions *Ardipithecus ramidus* on the tree of <u>human</u> and ape relationships. Was Ardi an ape with a few humanlike features retained from an ancestor near in time (6 and 8 million years ago, according to DNA evidence) to the split between the chimpanzee and human lines? Or was it a true relative of the human line that had yet to shed many signs of its remote tree-dwelling ancestry?

New research led by ASU paleoanthropologist William Kimbel confirms Ardi's close evolutionary relationship to humans. Kimbel and his collaborators turned to the underside (or base) of a beautifully preserved partial cranium of Ardi. Their study revealed a pattern of similarity that links Ardi to *Australopithecus* and modern humans and but not to apes.

The research appears in the January 6, 2014, online edition of *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*. Kimbel is director of the ASU Institute of Human Origins, a research center of the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences in the School of Human Evolution and Social Change. Joining ASU's Kimbel as co-authors are Gen Suwa (University of Tokyo Museum), Berhane Asfaw (Rift Valley Research Service, Addis Ababa), Yoel Rak (Tel Aviv University), and Tim White (University of California at Berkeley).

White's field-research team has been recovering fossil remains of *Ardipithecus ramidus* in the Middle Awash Research area, Ethiopia, since the 1990s. The most recent study of the Ardi skull, led by Suwa, was published in Science in 2009, whose work (with the Middle Awash team) first revealed humanlike aspects of its base. Kimbel co-leads the team that recovered the earliest known *Australopithecus* skulls from the Hadar site, home of the "Lucy" skeleton, in Ethiopia.

"Given the very tiny size of the Ardi skull, the similarity of its cranial base to a human's is astonishing," says Kimbel.



The cranial base is a valuable resource for studying phylogenetic, or natural evolutionary relationships, because its anatomical complexity and association with the brain, posture, and chewing system have provided numerous opportunities for adaptive evolution over time. The human cranial base, accordingly, differs profoundly from that of apes and other primates.

In humans, the structures marking the articulation of the spine with the skull are more forwardly located than in apes, the base is shorter from front to back, and the openings on each side for passage of blood vessels and nerves are more widely separated.

These shape differences affect the way the bones are arranged on the skull base such that it is fairly easy to tell apart even isolated fragments of ape and human basicrania.

Ardi's cranial base shows the distinguishing features that separate humans and *Australopithecus* from the apes. Kimbel's earlier research (with collaborator Rak) had shown that these human peculiarities were present in the earliest known *Australopithecus* skulls by 3.4 million years ago.

The new work expands the catalogue of anatomical similarities linking humans, *Australopithecus*, and *Ardipithecus* on the tree of life and shows that the human cranial base pattern is at least a million years older than Lucy's species, *A. afarensis*.

Paleoanthropologists generally fall into one of two camps on the cause of evolutionary changes in the human cranial base. Was it the adoption of upright posture and bipedality causing a shift in the poise of the head on the vertebral column? If so, does the humanlike cranial base of Ar. ramidus confirm postcranial evidence for partial bipedality in this species? Or, do the changes tell us about the shape of the brain (and of



the base on which it sits), perhaps an early sign of brain reorganization in the human lineage? Both alternatives will need to be re-evaluated in light of the finding that Ardi does indeed appear to be more closely related to humans than to chimpanzees.

"The Ardi cranial base fills some important gaps in our understanding of human evolution above the neck," adds Kimbel. "But it opens up a host of new questions...just as it should!"

**More information:** Ardipithecus ramidus and the evolution of the human cranial base, <u>www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1322639111</u>

## Provided by Arizona State University

Citation: 'Ardi' skull reveals links to human lineage (2014, January 6) retrieved 26 April 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2014-01-ardi-skull-reveals-links-human.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.