

# The nearness of you: Research examines influence of proximity on communication

May 9 2013, by Peter Reuell

---



In research described earlier this year in the *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, Elinor Amit (pictured), a College Fellow in psychology, along with two collaborators, Cheryl Wakslak and Yaacov Trope, showed that people increasingly prefer to communicate verbally (versus visually) with people who are distant (versus close) — socially, geographically, or temporally. Credit: Kris Snibbe/Harvard Staff Photographer

Suppose you're opening a restaurant next week, and you need signs for the restrooms. Which would you choose—signs with images that

represent men and women, or signs that simply say "Men" and "Women"? Now suppose the restaurant won't open until next year—would your choice change?

A Harvard researcher has answers.

In research described earlier this year in the *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, Elinor Amit, a College Fellow in psychology, along with two collaborators, Cheryl Wakslak and Yaacov Trope, showed that people increasingly prefer to communicate verbally (versus visually) with people who are distant (versus close)—socially, geographically, or temporally.

"The reason is that language is generally a more abstract form of communication than pictures," Amit said. "Because words are abstract, they preserve the [gist](#) and omit incidental details. For example, the word 'car' omits information about the color, the size, the number of doors, and so on. Therefore, words enable shared reality with [social partners](#) who exist in different times, remote locations, and are different from the self, and therefore may not have the same access to those incidental details, and thus for whom a concrete picture may not be relevant or understandable. For example, a pictorial message that was sent recently would be more comprehensible than a pictorial message from long ago. In contrast, verbal messages have a better chance of being understood across time periods."

Amit and colleagues performed eight experiments, including the restroom sign test.

In one, researchers asked students to help them in designing a generic member profile page that would appear on a dating website, then measured how many pixels of screen area were devoted to images and text. Students who were told the site would launch in six months

typically devoted more screen area to text versus images, Amit said, while students told the site would launch in a week designed profiles that gave equal space to both images and text.

In another experiment, Amit and colleagues showed a pasta recipe to two groups. For one, each step was illustrated; the other group's recipe used only text. Some participants were told the recipe was created by a Cambridge-based chef, while others were told the recipe was created by a chef in Los Angeles.

Participants were then asked whether they would try the recipe at home. Among those who saw the illustrated recipe, the ones told of the local chef were more likely to answer yes, Amit said. Among those who saw the text recipe, there was no difference.

That contrast in how people prefer to communicate with others is related to the way people think about objects and events near and far.

"If you're going to a conference in a year from now, you don't need to check the number of the bus line that runs from the airport to the hotel, what exactly you will wear, or even what will appear on the third slide of your PowerPoint presentation—that's too much information," Amit said. "But if the conference is tomorrow, you do need to know that. This idea is consistent with a theory from social cognition, construal level theory, which suggests that people think more abstractly about distal versus proximal things."

Amit also suggested that the preference for different forms of communication for proximal and distal things mirrors the way language develops, indicating that those preferences are deeply ingrained in the brain.

"If you think about the way language develops in children, even before

they know how to talk, they can communicate visually," she said. "One reason for that may be because, early in their life, they only need to communicate with people that are proximal to them—like parents, grandparents, and perhaps a nanny."

As a child's social sphere expands, and as the need to communicate with more and more people grows, so too does the development of language.

While such communication preferences may be unconscious, Amit said, it is possible for people to use various methods of communication as a tool to either shrink or enlarge distances. As an example, she pointed to the way someone might use video-chatting software like Skype to create the illusion of being closer to far-flung family and friends.

"We often try to match the communication medium and the distance, and so use relatively more pictures to communicate with proximal than distal others, and relatively more text to communicate with distal than proximal others. But if we have a motivation to change that, we can use the medium in a manipulative way," Amit said. "It can be a tool to change those distances."

*This story is published courtesy of the [Harvard Gazette](#), Harvard University's official newspaper. For additional university news, visit [Harvard.edu](#).*

Provided by Harvard University

Citation: The nearness of you: Research examines influence of proximity on communication (2013, May 9) retrieved 17 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2013-05-nearness-proximity.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.