

LEDs reduce costs for greenhouse tomato growers, study shows

April 30 2013, by Brian Wallheimer



Cary Mitchell, from left, and Celina Gomez harvest tomatoes grown around red and blue LED lights, which use far less energy than traditional high-pressure sodium lamps in greenhouses. Credit: Purdue Agricultural Communication photo/Tom Campbell

(Phys.org) —Tomatoes grown around LED lights in the winter can significantly reduce greenhouse energy costs without sacrificing yield, according to a Purdue University study.

Cary Mitchell, a professor of [horticulture](#), said the average tomato is shipped about 1,500 miles from warmer climates where they're grown to cooler climates that cannot produce the fruit cost-effectively in the winter. That journey is costly, however, because tomatoes are picked green and ripen during shipping, decreasing quality and flavor. The lengthy shipping distance also adds to the industry's [carbon footprint](#).

"It makes it really hard for the greenhouse industry to grow tomatoes well in the offseason. We're trying to change that and make it affordable," Mitchell said.

[Energy costs](#) drive up prices for producers who might want to grow tomatoes in [greenhouses](#) in states that have winters inhospitable to growing food. Greenhouses must be heated, and shorter, overcast days require costly lighting.

Mitchell and doctoral student Celina Gómez experimented with light-emitting diodes, which are cooler and require far less energy than traditional high-pressure sodium lamps used in greenhouses. They got the same yield - size and number of fruit - with high-pressure sodium lamps and LED towers, but the [LEDs](#) used about 25 percent of the energy of traditional lamps.

The scientists think that the method could have other advantages because the cooler LEDs can be placed much closer and along the sides of plants, lighting not only the top, but also the understory.

"The leaves are photosynthesizing on the lower parts of the plants, and that may be helping with the plant's energy," Gómez said. "We're getting the [high intensity](#) of the LEDs close to the plants because they're not hot like a high-pressure sodium lamp. If you put one of those close to the plants, you'd scorch it."

The heat from high-pressure sodium lamps account for about 15 percent to 25 percent of the heat needed to warm greenhouses, but Mitchell said that's inefficient.

"That's a very expensive way to heat a greenhouse, through lighting," Mitchell said.

Mitchell said the goal of his research is to reduce prices to the point where local growers could compete with the prices of tomatoes that are shipped from faraway places. Local tomatoes would be harvested vine ripe, would taste better and would boost local economies.

"The United States still imports one-third of its tomatoes from Mexico and Canada, as well as other countries," Mitchell said. "This technology could allow U.S. growers to create local jobs that shrink carbon footprints and produce better-tasting tomatoes."

Future studies include comparing LED-lit tomatoes with traditionally grown [tomatoes](#) for flavor.

Mitchell and Gómez' results were published in the journal *HortTechnology*.

More information: Comparison of Intracanopy Light-emitting Diode Towers and Overhead High-pressure Sodium Lamps for Supplemental Lighting of Greenhouse-grown Tomatoes, Celina Gómez, Robert C. Morrow, C. Michael Bourget, Gioia D. Massa, and Cary A. Mitchell, *HortTechnology*.

ABSTRACT

Electric supplemental lighting can account for a significant proportion of total greenhouse energy costs. Thus, the objectives of this study were to compare high-wire tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) production with and

without supplemental lighting and to evaluate two different lighting positions + light sources [traditional high-pressure sodium (HPS) overhead lighting (OHL) lamps vs. light-emitting diode (LED) intracanopy lighting (ICL) towers] on several production and energy-consumption parameters for two commercial tomato cultivars. Results indicated that regardless of the lighting position + source, supplemental lighting induced early fruit production and increased node number, fruit number (FN), and total fruit fresh weight (FW) for both cultivars compared with unsupplemented controls for a winter-to-summer production period. Furthermore, no productivity differences were measured between the two supplemental lighting treatments. The energy-consumption metrics indicated that the electrical conversion efficiency for light-emitting intracanopy lighting (LED-ICL) into fruit biomass was 75% higher than that for HPS-OHL. Thus, the lighting cost per average fruit grown under the HPS-OHL lamps was 403% more than that of using LED-ICL towers. Although no increase in yield was measured using LED-ICL, significant energy savings for lighting occurred without compromising fruit yield.

Provided by Purdue University

Citation: LEDs reduce costs for greenhouse tomato growers, study shows (2013, April 30)
retrieved 20 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2013-04-greenhouse-tomato-growers.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.