

Mixed forests: A missed opportunity?

January 14 2013



Mixed forrest outside Uppsala, Sweden. Credit: Nic Kruys/N

Forestry and nature conservation can benefit from promoting a diversity of tree species, new study finds.

Modern forestry is largely based on monocultures—in Sweden usually pine or spruce—mainly because it is considered more rational. However a forest contributes more ecosystem services than timber production,

such as [biological diversity](#), carbon storage, and berries. A new study from the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU) and Future Forests shows that mixed forests, in comparison with monocultures, have positive effects on several different services, including production.

"Many people have suggested that high diversity of tree species has a favorable impact on processes in the ecosystem, but until now this connection has primarily been studied in terms of one process or ecosystem service at a time," says Lars Gamfeldt from University of Gothenburg, who directed the new study.

The study, performed by an international research group, is based on material from the Swedish National Forest Inventory and the Swedish Forest Soil Inventory. By examining the role played by the occurrence of diverse tree species for six different ecosystem services (tree growth, carbon storage, berry production, food for wildlife, occurrence of dead wood, and biological diversity), the study demonstrates that all six services were positively related to the number of tree species.

Different trees contribute to different services. For example, the amount of spruce is related to high tree growth and the amount of pine to berry production, while [carbon storage](#) was found in plots with more birch. In order to attain more of all services, forestry may thus need to make use of different [tree species](#). Other studies of forests in [Central Europe](#), the [Mediterranean region](#), and Canada support these findings.

The study also investigated the relationship between the various ecosystem services. For example, high [tree growth](#) appears to be negatively related to the production of both berries and food for wildlife and to the occurrence of dead wood. On the other hand, food for wildlife was positively associated with both berry production and biological diversity in ground vegetation.

"It's not so simple that you can always get more of everything. Sometimes you have to consider trade-offs between different ecosystem services," says Jon Moen from Umeå University.

The new study, which is published in the scientific journal *Nature Communications*, runs partly counter to conventional thinking in forestry in Sweden. According to 2011 data from the Swedish National Forest Inventory, only about 7.5 percent of the productive forest land has mixed forests.

"Our findings show that both forestry and [nature conservation](#) stand to gain by promoting a greater variety of tree types, thereby providing more diverse [ecosystem services](#)," says Jan Bengtsson, from the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences.

More information: Gamfeldt, L. Higher levels of multiple ecosystem services are found in forests with more tree species. *Nature Communications* 4, Article number: 1340, [doi:10.1038/ncomms2328](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2328)

Provided by Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences

Citation: Mixed forests: A missed opportunity? (2013, January 14) retrieved 23 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2013-01-forests-opportunity.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--