

Breath test identifies bacteria's fingerprint

January 10 2013

(Phys.org)—Scientists have identified the chemical 'fingerprints' given off by specific bacteria when present in the lungs, potentially allowing for a quick and simple breath test to diagnose infections such as tuberculosis.

Publishing their study today in the *Journal of Breath Research*, the researchers have successfully distinguished between different types of bacteria, as well as different strains of the same bacteria, in the lungs of mice by analysing the <u>volatile organic compounds</u> (VOCs) present in exhaled breath.

It is hoped that a simple <u>breath test</u> could reduce the diagnosis time of lung infections from days and weeks to just minutes.

Co-author of the paper, Jane Hill, from the University of Vermont, said: "Traditional methods employed to diagnose bacterial infections of the lung require the collection of a sample that is then used to grow bacteria. The isolated colony of bacteria is then biochemically tested to classify it and to see how resistant it is to antibiotics.

"This whole process can take days for some of the common bacteria and even weeks for the causative agent for tuberculosis. <u>Breath analysis</u> would reduce the time-to-diagnosis to just minutes"

Clinicians see breath-testing as an attractive method for diagnosing disease due to its ease of use and non-invasiveness. Scientists have already investigated breath-based diagnostics for multiple cancers,



asthma and diabetes.

In this study, the researchers, from the University of Vermont, analysed the VOCs given off by <u>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</u> and <u>Staphylococcus</u> <u>aureus</u>, both of which are common in acute and chronic lung infections.

They infected mice with the two bacteria and sampled their breath after 24 hours. The VOCs were analysed using a technique called secondary electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (SESI-MS), which is capable of detecting VOCs down to parts per trillion.

They found a statistically significant difference between the breath profiles of the mice infected with the bacteria and the mice that were uninfected. The two different species of bacteria could also be distinguished to a statistically significant level, as could the two different strains of the P. aeruginosa that were used.

They hypothesise that <u>bacteria</u> in the lungs produce unique VOCs that are not found in regular human breath due to their differing metabolism.

"We have strong evidence that we can distinguish between bacterial infections of the lung in mice very effectively using the breathprint SESI-MS approach and I suspect that we will also be able to distinguish between bacterial, viral and fungal infections of the <u>lung</u>.

"To that end, we are now collaborating with colleagues to sample patients in order to demonstrate the strengths, as well as limitations, of breath analysis more comprehensively," continued Hill.

More information: "Detecting bacterial lung infections: in vivo evaluation of in vitro volatile fingerprints" *J. Breath Res.* 7 016003 <u>iopscience.iop.org/1752-7163/7/1/016003</u>



Provided by Institute of Physics

Citation: Breath test identifies bacteria's fingerprint (2013, January 10) retrieved 23 June 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2013-01-bacteria-fingerprint.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.