


# Why some grasses evolved a more efficient photosynthesis and others didn't

December 24 2012

---



In this magnified cross-section of a leaf of *Eriachne ciliata* grass, scientists note that the circle-shaped veins are relatively close and are ringed by large "bundle sheath" cells. That anatomy promotes a more efficient "C4" means of photosynthesis. Credit: Courtesy Edwards Lab/Brown University

Even on the evolutionary time scale of tens of millions of years there is such a thing as being in the right shape at the right time. An anatomical difference in the ability to seize the moment, according to a study led by Brown University biologists, explains why more species in one broad group, or clade, of grasses evolved a more efficient means of photosynthesis than species in another clade did.

Their findings appear this week in the [Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences](#).

Biologists refer to the grasses that have evolved this better means of making their food in warm, sunny and dry conditions with the designation "C4." Grasses without that trait are labeled "C3."

What scientists had already known is that while all of the grasses in the BEP and PACMAD clades have the basic metabolic infrastructure to become C4 grasses, the species that have actually done so are entirely in the PACMAD clade. A four-nation group of scientists wondered why that disparity exists.

To find out, Brown [postdoctoral researcher](#) and lead author Pascal-Antoine Christin spent two years closely examining the cellular anatomy of 157 living species of BEP and PACMAD grasses. Using [genetic data](#) the team also organized the species into their [evolutionary tree](#), which they then used to infer the anatomical traits of ancestral grasses that no longer exist today, a common analytical technique known as ancestral state reconstruction. That allowed them to consider how anatomical differences likely evolved among species over time.

Paradoxically, to understand C4 evolution, the researchers focused on the anatomy of C3 grasses in each clade.

In general what they found was that in the leaves of many PACMAD C3 grasses the veins were closer together, and that the veins themselves were surrounded by larger cells ("bundle sheath" cells) than in BEP C3 grasses. Ultimately PACMAD grasses had a higher ratio of bundle sheath cells to mesophyll cells (cells that fill in the area between veins).

In C4 plants, such an anatomical arrangement facilitates a more efficient transfer and processing of CO<sub>2</sub> in the bundle sheath cells when CO<sub>2</sub> is

in relatively short supply. When temperatures get hot or plants become stressed, they stop taking in as much CO<sub>2</sub>, creating just such a shortage within the leaf.

So PACMADs as a group had developed an anatomical predisposition to C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis that BEP grasses didn't, said senior author Erika Edwards, an assistant professor of ecology and evolutionary biology at Brown.

"We found that consistently these PACMAD C<sub>3</sub>s are very different anatomically than the C<sub>3</sub> BEPs," she said. "We think that was the evolutionary stepping stone to C<sub>4</sub>-like physiology."

## **When the new leaves turned over**

It didn't used to be this way. Back around 60 or so million years ago, BEP and PACMAD grasses were more similar and both headed in the same direction. The distance between the leaf veins in both clades had been growing closer together. But then they started to diverge in a key way. The bundle sheath cells surrounding the veins in BEP grasses started to shrink down while those in PACMAD grasses stayed larger.

For a long time the climate didn't particularly punish or reward either of those directions. But then climate changed, and opportunity knocked, Edwards said. Only PACMAD was near the proverbial door.

"When atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> decreased tens of millions of years after the split of the BEP and PACMAD clades, a combination of shorter [distances between veins] and large [sheath] cells existed only in members of the PACMAD clade, limiting C<sub>4</sub> evolution to this lineage," Christin and co-authors wrote in the paper.

The researchers also found that evolution among C<sub>4</sub> grasses was

anatomically nuanced. Some C4 grasses evolved because of advantageous changes in outer sheath cells, while others saw the improvement in inner sheath cells.

Ultimately, Edwards said, studies like this one show that plant biologists have made important progress in understanding the big picture of when and where important plant traits evolved. That could lead to further advances in both basic science, and perhaps agriculture as well.

"Now that we have this increasingly detailed birds-eye view, we can start to become a more predictive science," she said. "Now we have the raw goods to ask interesting questions about why, for example, one trait evolves 10 times in this region of the tree but never over here. In terms of genetic engineering we're going to be able to provide some useful information to people who want to improve species, such as important crops."

Provided by Brown University

Citation: Why some grasses evolved a more efficient photosynthesis and others didn't (2012, December 24) retrieved 2 May 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2012-12-grasses-evolved-efficient-photosynthesis-didnt.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--