

## Why silicon-based aliens would rather eat our cities than us: Thoughts on non-carbon astrobiology

December 21 2011, By Bruce Dorminey



A graphic associated with the original 'War of the Worlds' by H.G. Wells.

Conventional wisdom has long had it that carbon-based life, so common here on earth, must surely be abundant elsewhere; both in our galaxy and the universe as a whole.

This line of reasoning is founded on two major assumptions; the first being that complex <u>carbon chain molecules</u>, the building blocks of <u>life</u> as we know it, have been detected throughout the <u>interstellar medium</u>. Carbon's abundance appears to stretch across much of cosmic time,



since its production is thought to have peaked some 7 billion years ago, when the universe was roughly half its current age.

The other major assumption is that life needs an elixir, a solvent on which it can advance its unique complex chemistry. Water and carbon go hand in hand in making this happen.

While the world as we know it runs on carbon, science fiction's long flirtation with silicon-based life — "It's life, but not as we know it" — has become a familiar catchphrase. But life of any sort should evolve, eat, excrete, reproduce, and respond to stimulus.

And although non-carbon based life is a very long shot, we thought we'd broach the issue with one of the country's top astrochemists — Max Bernstein, the Research Lead of the Science Mission Directorate at NASA headquarters in Washington, D.C.

## **Bruce Dorminey** — IS IT WRONG TO ASSUME THAT LIFE COULD BE BASED ON SOMETHING OTHER THAN CARBON?

Max Bernstein — It's important for us to keep an open mind about alien life, lest we come across it and miss it. On the other hand, carbon is much better than any other element in forming the main structures of living things. Carbon can form many stable complex structures of great diversity. When carbon forms molecules containing cxygen and nitrogen, the carbon bonds to nitrogen and oxygen are stable. But not so much so that they can't be fairly easily undone, unlike silicon-oxygen bonds, for example.

**Dorminey** — DOES THE RECENT NASA-FUNDED RESEARCH AT MONO LAKE, CALIFORNIA WHICH TOUTED THE DISCOVERY OF BACTERIA WITH DNA THAT USES ARSENIC INSTEAD OF PHOSPHORUS RATTLE THE CURRENT PARADIGM?



**Bernstein** — That was a really cool result, but the basic structure was still carbon. The arsenic was said to have replaced phosphorus, not carbon. The discovery of this putative arsenic organism may prove to be incorrect, but it's a hypothesis with science behind it, and not just someone tossing out an idea and leaving it at the level of what if you replaced carbon with silicon?

**Dorminey** — SILICON SEEMS TO BE THE MOST POPULAR NON-CARBON BASED CANDIDATE, ARE THERE OTHERS THAT ALSO MIGHT BE FEASIBLE?

**Bernstein** — It's hard to imagine anything that would be more likely that silicon because there is nothing closer to carbon than silicon in terms of its chemistry. It's in the right place on the periodic table, just below carbon. On the face of it, [silicon-based life] doesn't seem too absurd since silicon, like carbon, forms four bonds. CH4 is methane and SiH4 is silane. They are analogous molecules so the basic idea is that perhaps silicon could form an entire parallel chemistry, and even life. But there are tons of problems with this idea. We don't see a complex stable chemistry [solely] of silicon and hydrogen, as we see with carbon and hydrogen. We use hydrocarbon chains in our lipids (molecules that make up membranes), but the analogous silane chains would not be stable. Whereas carbon-oxygen bonds can be made and unmade — this goes on in our bodies all the time — this is not true for silicon. This would severely limit silicon's life-like chemistry. Maybe you could have something silicon-based that's sort of alive, but only in the sense that it passes on information.

**Dorminey** — IF SILICON-BASED LIFE IS OUT THERE, HOW COULD WE EVER DETECT IT REMOTELY?

**Bernstein** — We are seriously arguing about how we would remotely detect life just like us, so I really couldn't say. Presumably technology-



using organisms, whatever their biochemistry, will produce technology, so the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence (SETI) may be our best shot.

**Dorminey**— HOW WOULD YOU LOOK FOR SILICON-BASED LIFE HERE ON EARTH?

Bernstein — When seeking an alien organism its really tough because you just don't know what molecules to look for. One would have to be satisfied by something a bit more ambiguous, like sets of molecules that should not be there. For example, if you were an alien Silicon organism, you might not be looking for our biochemistry, but the fact that you kept seeing exactly the same chain lengths over and over again might tip you off to the fact that those darn carbon chains might actually be the basis of an organism's membranes.

**Dorminey** — WHERE ARE THE LARGEST CONCENTRATIONS OF SILICON HERE? IN SAND?

**Bernstein** — In sand or rock. There are literally megatons of silicate minerals on Earth.

**Dorminey** — HAS ANYONE EVER CLAIMED DETECTION OF SELF-REPLICATING EXAMPLES OF SILICON HERE ON EARTH?

Bernstein — There have been ideas about minerals holding information just as DNA holds information. DNA holds information in a chain that is read from one end to the other. In contrast, a mineral could hold information in two dimensions [on its surface]. A crystal grows when new atoms arrive on the surface, building layer upon layer. So, if a crystal sheet cleaved off and then started to grow that would be like the birth of a new organism and would carry information from generation to



generation. But is a replicating crystal alive? To date, I don't think that there is actually any evidence that minerals pass information like this.

**Dorminey** — IS THE CRUX OF THE PROBLEM THAT SILICON-BASED LIFE WOULD BE SO SLOWLY REPLICATING THAT IT COULD NEVER MAKE IT IN A DYNAMIC UNIVERSE?

**Bernstein** — I don't think that any Silicon life form could be a biological threat to us. If they were high tech, they might eat our buildings or shoot guns at us but I don't see how they could infect us. We run hot and move fast. If we don't, things will catch us and eat us.

If they are also tougher than we are and whatever feeds on them is also slow and Silicon based maybe being slow doesn't matter.

**Dorminey** — WHAT WOULD BE THE SIGNATURES OF SILICON-BASED LIFE?

**Bernstein** — If they are not technological, they would be very tough to detect. We could look for unstable, unexpected silicon molecules; some high energy molecule that should not be there, or molecular chains of all the same length.

**Dorminey** — DO YOU THINK THAT SILICON-BASED LIFE MIGHT EXIST SOMEWHERE OUT THERE?

**Bernstein** — Maybe deep below the surface of a planet in some very hot hydrogen-rich, Oxygen-poor environment, you would have this complex silane chemistry. There, maybe silanes would form reversible silicon bonds with selenium or tellurium.

**Dorminey** — IF SUCH SILICON-BASED LIFE DID CROP UP, WHAT WOULD BE ITS EVOLUTIONARY ENDGAME?



**Bernstein** — If it could evolve past the protist [microorganism] stage, then I think it could evolve intelligence. I have no idea how likely it is for intelligence to evolve, but I can believe in silicon crystals passing information from layer to layer or in silicon artificial intelligence, but I don't expect to see <u>silicon</u> apes playing their equivalent of "Angry Birds" on their Silicon-Phones.

**Dorminey** — IF SILICON-LIFE DID EVOLVE, WOULD ITS LIFESPAN BE MUCH LONGER THAN ITS CARBON-BASED ANALOGUES?

**Bernstein** — The replicating mineral that I described earlier would be living very, very slowly on <u>Earth</u>'s surface. But maybe somewhere very much hotter, its lifespan would be shorter. That's because presumably lifespan is connected to the pace of your chemistry, which depends on temperature.

**Dorminey** — FINALLY, WHAT WOULD ENDANGER NON-CARBON-BASED LIFE?

**Bernstein** — Physical harm for sure. Presumably you could take a jackhammer to it?

But our biochemistry would not be pathogens to it; we could not "infect" them as was the case in "War of the Worlds."

Source: <u>Universe Today</u>

Citation: Why silicon-based aliens would rather eat our cities than us: Thoughts on non-carbon astrobiology (2011, December 21) retrieved 27 April 2024 from <a href="https://phys.org/news/2011-12-silicon-based-aliens-cities-thoughts-non-carbon.html">https://phys.org/news/2011-12-silicon-based-aliens-cities-thoughts-non-carbon.html</a>



This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.