

# Fudging in greenhouse gas stats?

August 18 2011

---



This is Jungfrauoch research station at 3580 meters above sea level. Credit: Source: Jungfrau Mountain Railways

International agreements such as the Kyoto Protocol to reduce greenhouse gases (GHG) basically have one snag: it is almost impossible to independently verify whether participating countries abide by the agreement. Thus the evaluation of whether or not the countries have achieved their reduction targets is based on the official reports by the countries that are signatories to the UNFCCC ('United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change'). If they report reduced emissions they're sitting pretty; if not, they are pilloried.

This could change soon. Pollutant analyses by Empa, the Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials [Science and Technology](#), – at the Jungfrauoch research station at 3580 metres above sea level, among others – using a special gas chromatograph mass spectrometer called

'MEDUSA' not only enables the emission levels of more than 50 halogenated GHG to be quickly and precisely evaluated; they also make it possible to identify the emission sources regionally, thanks to atmospheric and meteorological computer models. The sobering result: Western Europe emits around twice as much HFC-23 as officially reported. A corresponding study was recently published in the journal *'Geophysical Research Letters'*.

"Our results show that these types of measurements really are suitable for checking compliance with international agreements on air pollution control", says Empa researcher Stefan Reimann from the 'Air Pollution/Environmental Technology' laboratory. It is true that the [Kyoto Protocol](#) did not specify any independent control mechanisms; this could, however, be of central importance in subsequent agreements with binding emission targets.

## **The usual suspects?**

The suspicion that some countries have not been overly precise in reporting their GHG [emissions](#) has been around for some time; projections from measurements of the world-wide AGAGE network ('Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment') showed significantly higher readings than officially reported. Reimann: "It was assumed that, above all, China and some developing countries did not correctly report their emissions levels."

For example emissions of HFC-23, with an atmospheric half life of approximately 270 years an extremely long-lived GHG – and with a global warming potential 15,000 times greater than CO<sub>2</sub> a particularly potent one. HFC-23 is produced as a by-product in the manufacture of chlorodifluoromethane (HCFC-22), which is used as a cooling and foaming agent and in Teflon production. The advantage of HFC-23 is that it is almost exclusively emitted by HCFC-22 manufacturers. And

there were just six of them in Western Europe in 2008. Reimann: "That means we exactly know our point sources."

In order to estimate the HFC-23 amounts in the atmosphere over Western Europe as precisely as possible, Reimann and his doctoral student, Christoph Keller, analysed the HFC-23 emissions from July 2008 to July 2010 at both Jungfraujoch and Mace Head, an AGAGE measurement station on the west coast of Ireland. Time and again they found mysterious peaks, which far exceeded the average. Using atmospheric transport models, the Empa researchers were able to calculate where the polluted air masses originated that transported HFC-23 to Jungfraujoch – first and foremost from Italy's sole HCFC-22 factory west of Milan.

### **'Clean' Italy: virtually HFC-23-free since 1996 – according to the records**

So far, so good. If it were not for the official figures from Italy, which did not report any appreciable HFC-23 emissions – and that since 1996. An isolated case? Reimann and his team wanted to dig deeper. With financial support from the Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN), they evaluated HFC-23 figures for 2008 to 2010 throughout Western Europe and pinpointed the source regions. The emission figures approximately doubled those that had been reported – whereby countries significantly differed in their 'reporting accuracy'. Alongside the 'front runner' Italy, also the Netherlands and Great Britain underestimated their HFC-23 emissions; France and Germany's figures, in contrast, lay within the reported values. And, to Reimann's delight, the computer model was able to identify all six HCFC-22 factories with great accuracy.

Overall the unreported amounts of 'Italian' HFC-23 could be calculated as 270,000 to 630,000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent – roughly

corresponding to the annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of a city of 75,000 inhabitants. "On the other hand, what is positive is that we can 'see' emission sources, which are located hundreds of kilometres away from Jungfrauoch", reflects Reimann. In order to be able to collect data such as these on a global scale, the network of measurement stations would have to expanded, above all in Eastern Europe and East Asia.

Provided by EMPA

Citation: Fudging in greenhouse gas stats? (2011, August 18) retrieved 20 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2011-08-fudging-greenhouse-gas-stats.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.