

# Loss of large predators has caused widespread disruption of ecosystems

July 19 2011, By Mario Aguilera and Tim Stephens

---



Grey reef sharks in the Line Islands.

(PhysOrg.com) -- The decline of large predators and other "apex consumers" at the top of the food chain has disrupted ecosystems all over the planet, according to a review of recent findings conducted by an international team of scientists and published in the July 15 issue of *Science*.

The study, which included Jeremy Jackson and Stuart Sandin of Scripps Institution of Oceanography at UC San Diego, looked at research on a wide range of terrestrial, freshwater and [marine ecosystems](#) and concluded that "the loss of apex consumers is arguably humankind's most pervasive influence on the natural world."

According to first author James Estes, a professor of ecology and [evolutionary biology](#) at UC Santa Cruz, large animals were once

ubiquitous across the globe, and they shaped the structure and dynamics of ecosystems. Their decline, largely caused by humans through hunting and [habitat fragmentation](#), has had far-reaching and often surprising consequences, including changes in vegetation, wildfire frequency, [infectious diseases](#), [invasive species](#), water quality and nutrient cycles.

The decline of apex consumers has been most pronounced among the big predators, such as wolves and lions on land, whales and sharks in the oceans and large fish in freshwater ecosystems. But there have also been dramatic declines in populations of many large [herbivores](#), such as elephants and bison. The loss of apex consumers from an ecosystem triggers an ecological phenomenon known as a "trophic cascade," a chain of effects moving down through lower levels of the food web.

Sandin's contribution to the study focused on the importance of sharks in coral reef ecosystems. For the past several years, Sandin and his colleagues have explored a chain of islands in the Central Pacific Ocean that feature virtually pristine, undisturbed reefs but also reefs adjacent to populated islands and subject to the effects of pollution and fishing. As documented in the Science study, uninhabited Jarvis Island features a healthy, thriving reef ecosystem with a robust shark population. Neighboring Kiritimati, or Christmas Island, however, with a population of 5,000 people and an active fishery, is absent of sharks and now features an ecosystem dominated by small fishes and overrun by algae.

"Practically and ethically, we cannot conduct large experiments to investigate the effects of predator removal," said Sandin. "But in the Line Islands we can rely on a natural experiment to follow what happens when sharks are removed. The abundance of prey changes, the way the energy flows through the ecosystem changes and even the way nutrients are cycled is altered. The importance of this paper is its recognition that predator removal is a global phenomenon, affecting reefs and almost every other ecosystem."

In a separate study recently published in the *Public Library of Science (PLoS) ONE* journal, Sandin and his colleagues began probing the details and mechanisms of how coral reef ecosystems change without the presence of sharks. Comparing unfished Palmyra to heavily fished Christmas Island, Sandin and his coauthors found that the ecology of prey fish changed dramatically in the relative absence of predators. On the reefs of Christmas, the removal of sharks and other large predators leads to an increase in the longevity of prey fish, an alteration in their patterns of growth and ultimately the creation of a wholly new structure for the "predator-lite" coral reef [food web](#), the study showed.

"Predators have a huge structuring influence," said Sandin. "When you remove them you change the biology, which is typically profound and complex. And in many cases it's not necessarily predictable."

"The top-down effects of apex consumers in an ecosystem are fundamentally important, but it is a complicated phenomenon," Estes said. "They have diverse and powerful effects on the ways ecosystems work, and the loss of these large animals has widespread implications."

Estes and his coauthors cite a wide range of examples in their review, including the following:

- The decimation of wolves in Yellowstone National Park led to over-browsing of aspen and willows by elk, and restoration of wolves has allowed the vegetation to recover.
- The reduction of lions and leopards in parts of Africa has led to population outbreaks and changes in behavior of olive baboons, increasing their contact with people and causing higher rates of intestinal parasites in both people and baboons.
- A rinderpest epidemic decimated the populations of wildebeest and other ungulates in the Serengeti, resulting in more woody vegetation and increased extent and frequency of wildfires prior to rinderpest

eradication in the 1960s.

- Dramatic changes in coastal ecosystems have followed the collapse and recovery of sea otter populations; sea otters maintain coastal kelp forests by controlling populations of kelp-grazing sea urchins.
- The decimation of sharks in an estuarine ecosystem caused an outbreak of cow-nosed rays and the collapse of shellfish populations.

Despite these and other well-known examples, the extent to which ecosystems are shaped by such interactions has not been widely appreciated. "There's been a tendency to see it as idiosyncratic and specific to particular species and ecosystems," Estes said.

One reason for this is that the top-down effects of apex predators are difficult to observe and study. "These interactions are invisible unless there is some perturbation that reveals them," Estes said. "With these large animals, it's impossible to do the kinds of experiments that would be needed to show their effects, so the evidence has been acquired as a result of natural changes and long-term records."



Estes has been studying coastal ecosystems in the North Pacific for several decades, doing pioneering work on the ecological roles of sea otters and killer whales. In 2008, he and coauthor John Terborgh of Duke University organized a conference on trophic cascades, which brought together scientists studying a wide range of ecosystems. The recognition that similar top-down effects have been observed in many different systems was a catalyst for the new paper.

The *Science* study's findings have profound implications for conservation. "To the extent that conservation aims toward restoring functional [ecosystems](#), the reestablishment of large animals and their ecological effects is fundamental," Estes said. "This has huge implications for the scale at which conservation can be done. You can't restore large apex consumers on an acre of land. These animals roam over large areas, so it's going to require large-scale approaches."

Provided by University of California - San Diego

Citation: Loss of large predators has caused widespread disruption of ecosystems (2011, July 19) retrieved 17 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2011-07-loss-large-predators-widespread-disruption.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.