

Mobile homes provide satisfaction, drawbacks for rural Pennsylvania

January 4 2010

A majority of Pennsylvania's mobile home residents say they are overwhelmingly satisfied with their homes despite problems like construction quality, social stigma and financing associated with them, according to Penn State geographers.

"The fact that there are problems associated with living in a mobile home was not a surprise to us," said Destiny Aman, graduate student in geography. "But, residents were overwhelmingly satisfied with their [housing](#) choice despite the problems, and that points to a real opportunity for housing policy to address issues and improve the quality of life for mobile home residents."

Aman and Yarnal conducted their research in 12 rural counties in Pennsylvania. They published their findings today (January 4) in *Applied Geography*.

The primary benefit of living in a mobile home is the low cost of the dwelling, according to Aman and Yarnal. In a mail survey to mobile home residents in 12 counties, 48 percent of the respondents cited [affordability](#) as a benefit. Another 47 percent said the interior layout of the mobile contributed to their satisfaction. In all, 385 mobile home residents responded to the survey.

It is likely that other affordable housing opportunities do not exist for these residents, Aman says. About 78 percent of the households surveyed earn less than \$50,000. These people live in rural areas lacking

the diversity of affordable housing options found in cities, such as apartment complexes.

Residents, however, say there are challenges with mobile home living.

"The most common complaints from residents were about the quality and conditions of their homes," Aman says. "They complained about cold floors, burst water pipes or specialty fixtures that cannot be purchased at hardware stores."

They also cited insufficient space, high utility costs, lot rental fees and the social stigma of mobile home living as their primary dislikes.

Despite the challenges, Aman said mobile homes should be a component of affordable housing initiatives in rural Pennsylvania even though they are "largely ignored by policymakers attempting to solve affordable housing problems."

"Mobile homes have become a very important part of the rural housing landscape," Aman said. "By focusing on fixing something people are already largely happy with, you stand a better chance of crafting successful housing policy."

For instance, Aman says state and federal agencies could offer incentives to encourage people to buy mobile homes and develop mobile home parks, in the same way existing programs do for urban apartment complexes.

In addition, policymakers could use affordable housing funds to encourage mobile home residents to buy newer models built for energy efficiency and durability, Aman says. Her research found that many people live in mobile homes that are 25 to 30 years old, but these old structures were not built to last that long.

By increasing the number of newer mobile homes in rural areas where there are not many housing options, Aman says, policymakers could efficiently address multiple housing issues for rural residents.

Provided by Pennsylvania State University

Citation: Mobile homes provide satisfaction, drawbacks for rural Pennsylvania (2010, January 4)
retrieved 24 April 2024 from
<https://phys.org/news/2010-01-mobile-homes-satisfaction-drawbacks-rural.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.