

Apology for human rights abuses has precedent in US

September 28 2009

A growing global movement to apologize and make restitution to victims of human rights abuses is now gathering steam in the United States, but it won't be a first for the country, says the president of The Western History Association.

"In reviewing the history of reconciliation in the American West, I've found three examples of government restitution — where we acknowledge we've participated in <u>human rights</u> abuses and offered either an apology, restitution, reparation or all three," says Sherry Smith, who is also associate director of the Clements Center for Southwest Studies at Southern Methodist University in Dallas and an SMU history professor.

The state of Montana granted posthumous pardons to Germans and Austrians convicted and imprisoned under repressive sedition laws during World War I; the U.S. government paid reparations to the heirs of Japanese Americans relocated to incarceration camps during World War II; and in a landmark native-lands case, Arizona returned 6,000 acres to the Hualapai tribe in the 1940s and the U.S. government set up the Indian Claims Commission.

"These are tiny steps considering the magnitude of the problem. But they helped turn the corner of deep injustice," says Smith. "It's never too late to do the right thing."

The global move toward reparations and restitution has largely evolved



since <u>World War II</u>, beginning with Germany after the Holocaust, Smith says. Since then other nations and some private corporations have apologized or offered reparations to reconcile the past.

Increasingly, governments are responding to victims' rights groups that are demanding reconciliation and restitution for slavery, war crimes and other institutionalized abuse. Most recently, the U.S. Senate in June passed a resolution apologizing for slavery — although it didn't offer any monetary reparation.

"The United States is in the beginning stages of this movement," says Smith, noting that historians have been a critical part of the process as they collect victims' testimony and verify abuses through documentation. "To the extent reconciliation includes chronicling and teaching the sometimes troubled past, historians are central to that," says Smith.

While Smith isn't drawing moral or ethical conclusions, she did say "the work that historians do can have social justice implications. We need to tell the stories of abuse and keep retelling them as part of the reconciliation process. But victims also need more than words. They want acts, too."

Source: Southern Methodist University (<u>news</u> : <u>web</u>)

Citation: Apology for human rights abuses has precedent in US (2009, September 28) retrieved 27 April 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2009-09-human-rights-abuses.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.