

New research suggests biofuel blending is often inaccurate

February 27 2008

While sampling blended biodiesel fuels purchased from small-scale retailers, researchers at the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution found that many of the blends do not contain the advertised amount of biofuel.

Marine chemist Chris Reddy and colleagues sampled pure biodiesel and blends from more than a dozen distributors across the United States. When testing fuels listed as 20 percent biodiesel (commonly known as B20), they found that the actual percentage of biofuel ranged from as little as 10 percent to as much as 74 percent. Only 10 percent of samples met the specifications for biofuel blends required for vehicles of the U.S. Department of Defense, one of the leading consumers of the products.

Pure biodiesel (B100) is a chemically prepared mixture of animal fats and vegetable oils, and it is often used in modified diesel engines. Biodiesel "blends" combine B100 with traditional petroleum-based fuels in a manner that allows them to be used in regular diesel engines. Retailers commonly sell blends that are 20 percent biodiesel (B20) or 5 percent (B5), while all diesel fuels sold in Minnesota are actually B2 (2 percent biofuel), in accordance with state standards.

Proponents of such fuels claim that they are more environmentally friendly because they emit less pollution—such as sulfur, particulates, and hydrocarbons—and may be less toxic for the environment when spilled.



"Biodiesel is a great product if used properly, and it could turn out to be an important alternative fuel," said Reddy, an associate scientist in the WHOI Department of Marine Chemistry and Geochemistry. "There is a lot of good feeling about biodiesel, but if we are going to sell it, we have to make sure what is being sold is accurately prepared. It is a matter of credibility and consumer confidence."

Reddy and colleagues happened upon the discrepancy while studying the potential effects of a biodiesel spill in the marine environment. The new research was published online on February 27 in the journal *Environmental Science and Technology*. It will appear in print in the April issue of the magazine.

Biodiesel blends are often made by local distributors through simple "splash blending," whereby ingredients are poured together into a container in their respective amounts. The intent is that the simple act of pouring will ensure proper mixing.

But biodiesel is naturally thicker and more viscous than petroleum-based diesel, so it may be settling into separate layers within fuel tanks (like a mixture of milk and chocolate syrup, or fruit juice and alcohol). Reddy and colleagues also pointed to simple human error—poor math, measurement, or stirring—as a possible reason for the inconsistencies.

Improper blending of biofuels could lead to engine problems from drivers in cold climates, Reddy noted, because it could freeze or clog fuel lines. Most auto manufacturers recommend against using mixtures greater than B20, though the reasons are unclear.

Reddy believes the discrepancies could harm consumer confidence in the product, which is sometimes sold at a premium price over traditional petroleum fuels. There could also be financial issues related to taxation and tax credits for biofuel providers and consumers.



The United States currently has a voluntary standard for proper preparation of blended fuels, but no enforcement. The nation does have an enforceable standard for pure biodiesel.

The new fuel blending research builds on a 2004-2005 study by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory that suggested some nationalscale manufacturers were having a hard time producing proper blends of biofuel.

Reddy and colleagues worked with WHOI senior scientist Bill Jenkins and colleagues at the National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass Spectrometer facility to develop an extremely precise radiocarbon-based calibration method for determining if the balance of biofuels and petroleum is correct. The new method relies on the fact that petroleum is "radiocarbon dead" (contain no radiocarbon), while biofuels are enriched with radioisotopes that plants absorb from Earth's atmosphere and soil.

The calibration method accounts for the differences in chemical makeup of different types of oils, such as canola, coconut, soybean, or animal fats. This method also allows for a direct quantification of the amount of renewable carbon that is emitted from vehicles, which will aid researchers in determining the true environmental value of switching to biofuels.

Source: Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

Citation: New research suggests biofuel blending is often inaccurate (2008, February 27) retrieved 5 July 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2008-02-biofuel-blending-inaccurate.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is



provided for information purposes only.